App. F. IX-4

1919-20

**File No.** 146/19

*Previous References*
L. B. 18-17-19, 4, 26430

(PP),

**Serial Nos.** 1 to 14, 19, 25, 28 to 30, 33 to 37, 42 to 44, 47, 48, 51, 52, 54 to 70

*Later References*
VP 34.34-102

# General and Revenue Secretariat.

*Serial Nos.* 1 to 14, 19, 25, 28 to 30, 33 to 37, 42 to 44, 47, 48, 51, 52, 54 to 70

**Part B for** August 1947

**SUBJECT**

Question of control over the lands situated close to the boundaries of the Civil & Military Station, Bangalore, and not included in the City Municipal limits.

at a meetin

~~(For Disposal by Circulation)~~

No. C 276

.....1921-22.

# GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

**Council Matter.**

---

SUBJECT.
(Clause xxvii of the schedule)

Question of control over the lands situated close to the boundaries of the Civil and Military Station, Bangalore and not included in the City Municipal limits.

## CIRCULATED TO THE MEMBERS OF COUNCIL.

By Order.

.....14th December 1921.

~~Chief~~ Secretary to Government.

---

**Extraordinary Member of Council.** 1.1.22.

**First Member of Council.**

3347.20 **Second Member of Council.** 17.12.21 19.12.21

3388 14/12 **Third Member of Council.** 14/12

G. R. S. III—1] 1919-20

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(P P) P P P

NOTES 1457

File No. 46

FILE HEADING.

Question of Control over the land in the Mysore State Situated Close to the boundaries of the C & M Station of Bangalore

From The F. A. Resident in Mysore

No. 4033 Dated 5 May 1920 Received 6 May 1920

| Diary No. 1906 | Enclos. | Spare Copies. | Serial No. 1 |
|---|---|---|---|

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Brings to notice the certain inconveniences that exist owing to a portion of land contiguous to the C & M Station area which is controlled, being left uncontrolled & requests that the D.C. Bangalore may be deputed to go over the ground with Mr. Tasker, President, C & M Stn to enable to arrive at a solution &c

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Submitted may a copy of the Residency letter be sent to the D.C. Bangalore for information and remarks before a reply is sent to the Residency.

6/5/20

Chipman

6.5.20

NOTES—First Sheet.

R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | ~~PP~~ File No. ~~146~~ of 1919.

Serial No.

2) The D.C may be asked to meet
Mr Tasker as suggested & furnish
a report to the Govt

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Recd. on MAY 10 1920
Reg. No. 7-5-514

2nd member
Dewan
8/5/20

6964-112.
7.5.20.

3) There can be no objection to the above in my opinion.
8.5.20

4) I agree
12.5.20

5) Submitted with two drafts.
Chief Sec
14/5

6) Issue
8/5/20
18/5

2 To The Dy Comr Bangalore
No G 26286 dt 20.5.1920
Requests him to make arrangements with
the President, Mud Commission to meet
him to discuss about the ground resp. to SL/

To The T.A.R. Pol 1276 dt 20.5.20
Intimates the above informations.

NOTE—Contd. on sheet

H.E.H. THE RAJPRAMUKH'S SECRETARIAT
POLITICAL BRANCH.
21 MAY 1920

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. ~~446~~ 146 of 1919.

Serial No. 4

From the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore
No HC 935/19-20 dt. 25. 5. 1920
with reference to Serial 2 above
states that he inspected the land along with
Avulda, Bangalore and the Civil Officer,
inspected the land under question, with
the President, Municipal Commission
of the Station Bangalore, and forwards
his submits what appear to him the
Special measures suitable, which the
President of the Cantonment Municipal
Council also approves.

(7) Subd for orders.

Ans 4/6- (8) If the area is under the jurisdiction of
his a Local Bd. as obtained by the D.C., it
implies that the Taluq Bd. will have control
over it, in which case it is difficult to see
how the D.C. can exercise independent
supervision such as that contemplated by him
in no (3) of his recommendation. It would be
an interference with the jurisdiction of the Tq Bd.
If however the area is taken out of the control
of the Tq Bd and placed directly under the D.B.
then the D.C. as President may exercise control.
These details are known & matter for local adjustment.
The Bd. may be informed of the result of the
interview and their views ascertained regarding

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

8746
146

File No. ~~146~~ of 1919.

Serial No.

the recommendations made in item (2), (4) & (5) of the D.C's Suggestions

Chief Secy) MK 4.6.20

(9) This file may be transferred to the Revenue to put the action-

Rev. Secy (with compliments) 6/6/1920

(10) M 7/6

D. No. 8746 8. 6. 20

Let this be referred to Govt. G. R. Revenue

(11) 8/6/20

(12) The Deputy Commissioner makes certain suggestions regarding for keeping the area in a Sanitary condition. The first suggestion is that village Sanitation regulation should be introduced into the 26 villages bordering on the Cantt. station. Under G.O. dated 12th April 1914, the D.C.s are authorised to introduce village Sanitation Rules into all inam and the villages containing 25 houses and upwards. Action should have been taken long ago by the D.C. in accordance with the last sentence

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

of the aforesaid G.O. in the matter of enforcing V.S. rules in the 26 villages, as they are in close proximity to a population like the C & M. Station. The attention of the D.C. will if approved, be drawn to the point now.

(12) The second special measure recommended for adoption is the appointment of a Special Sanitary staff, a moiety of the pay of which may be met by the C & M. Station. As regards the Sanitary staff, it may be pointed out that the area is under the control of the Taluk Board and it is their duty to see that the area is kept in a sanitary condition. The Taluk Board employs a Sanitary Inspector who should be directed to do the above work under proper supervision. If, on the other hand the work is considered too much for him

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat. | ~~Pf~~ File No. ~~41~~ 46 of 1919.

Serial No.

the Services of the Sanitary Inspect
Sanctioned in G.O. dated 8th Nov
1918. may be utilized for this
purpose. At the same time,
the Chief Medical Officer of the
(vide rule 5 intro) 5 line District, or other officers refd to in
~~para~~ rule 2 of the village
Sanitation rules may inspect
the villages and report upon their
Sanitary Condition. If only this
inspection is carried out periodica
and systematically, it is likel
that no Complaints in the
matter will be forthcoming.
(14) Even though the Sanitary
Staff as recommended is employ
it is submitted that it
will not be convenient to
receive any milk from the
C & M. Station. Grave difficulties
may arise in Case the concerned
authorities fail to keep up the
Sanitation of the area to the
Standard which may be presc
by the C & M. Station. It is therefo
necessary to Consider the matter
Carefully before the proposal

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | AA File No. 446 of 1919

Serial No.

Can be approved

(15) As regards the third point of the D.C's letter, there will be no objection for the D.C. to execise all these powers as President of the District Board.

(16) Points 4 and 5:— The Cattle suggested seems to be objectionable and inconvenient. Moreover such an arrangement presumes the existence of "outstanding matters of importance relating to the Sanitation" in that area. If only Sanitation rules are strictly enforced, there will be no necessity for such an arrangement. Should however any other complaints be heard even after that, the proper channel of communication should be on the Residency and each question will be decided on its merits.

There seems to be no objection. The result of enforcing the existing rules may be just watched.

(17) Point 6:— Under rule 3 of the village Sanitation rules the Kayamgutta or the

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

Sanitary agency primarily responsible for the observance of and enforcement of the rules and the D.C has authority to ask the Kayamgattadan to observe these rules (vide Jo dated 12th Nov 1914).

(18) To summarise, the Deputy Commissioner and President of the District Board may be asked to

(1) introduce village Sanitation Regulation immediately into all the 26 villages - alienated or unalienated - under the authority of G.O. dated 12. 11. 1914.

(2) get the villages inspected once a month by the Amildar of the Bandarban Subdivision and the District Health Officer and get reports on the Sanitary Conditions of their - A report of the action taken to be sent to Sub.

(3) A reply is due to the Residency in the matter and they may be informed of the action taken viz that the authorities concerned have been instructed

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 116 of 1919

Serial No.

to see that the area is kept in a proper sanitary condition. Submit for orders.

GRR 11/6/1920

19. The Dy Commrs attention may, in the first instance, be drawn to the Govt order of 12th Nov 1914 and to Rule 2 of the Rules under the V.S. Regulation and he may be asked to state whether the Taluk Bd cannot, with its existing Sanitary Staff manage to maintain the the area under its control in a Sanitary condition, the Inamdars being held responsible for the Sanitation of their Inam villages, & whether the Bd is prepared to bear the cost of the proposed additional Staff if it is eventually decided to be necessary.

whether it would not be sufficient if these provisions were enforced in order to secure the end in view

20. On receipt of this reply, further necessary action may be taken & a reply sent to the Resdency.

Rev Secy) 8 12/6/20

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

21. The course suggested in the previous paras 19 & 20 may be adopted

2nd member) P.T.O. 11-6-20

PP 146-19 8746

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | PP File No. 146 of 1919.

Serial No.

7535-260. 15.6.20.

(1) Yes. The D.C. may be addressed as proposed & a reply asked for within a week's time.

Reed 16.6.20

Draft 16/6/20

(2) Draft submd for appl before issue

Reading)

17/6/20

17.6.20

17.6.20

5 To

The Deputy Commissioner, Bundelkhand Division No R 13886 D 14-6-20

Invites his attention to the G.O. dated 7th Nov 1914 and to rules 2 & 3 of the V.S. Rules and calls for further information in the matter with a request that the reply may be sent within a week.

6 From

The Deputy Commissioner, Jhansi Division No. Rabgi/14-20 D. 30-6-1920

Furnishes the reply called for in Sl. 5.

(3) It is submitted that the

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | PP File No. 146 of/191 9

Serial No.

Taluk Board of B line has not employed two full time Sanitary Inspectors as stated in para 2. As the distinct Board gets the income in the shape of license fees on account of taxuries the pay of this Sanitary Inspector is met out of the funds at the Credit of the Dt Board. under ther above circumstance, it may not be difficult to the Taluk Board to employ another S. I. solely for this purpose.

If abbd, they may be promoted out to the Dt Bd & the D.C. asked to expedite the promised report —

(16) With reference to the suggestion that the pay of the Sanitary asst may partly be met by the C & in Station municipality attention is invited to para 14 supra.

The Dt. Boards are, under the orders of the Dt. Board, primarily responsible for the due observance & enforcement of the rules — + Para 3.

(17) The doubts and remedies expressed in para 3 of the letter do not seem to have any force in them. The Village Sanitation rules are clear on the point.

(18) Subd with refce to paras 20, 21 & 22 supra (19) If abbd, a reply to the Residency will be dropped

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

184
874b

General and Revenue Secretariat. | RD File No. 146 of 1919-20

Serial No.

Leaving the question of the entertainment of an additional Sanitary Staff for further consideration.
Rev Secy)

8/7/20

(36) What is, I think, the more important point on which the Residency will insist. We may perhaps wait & see what the Tq Bd will resolve. If as stated in the Dr Com's first letter, the C.H. Sn ~~also~~ agrees to pay ½ the cost, the question of entertaining the special staff may be considered

8/7/20

196-196
9.7.20

(37) 2nd Member)
Yes. The resolution of the Tq Bd may be awaited. If it comes it will not take long for us to get it & review it.

10.7.20

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

pp 1856

File No. 146 of 1919.

| Serial No. | |
|---|---|
| 1 | From<br>The President, Dist Board<br>Bangalore<br>No C 2091/27 11-8-920<br>Dy 10-8-20<br><br>forwards copies of the resolns of the Taluk & Dist Boards in the matter of the Sanitation of villages around the C.M.Stn<br><br>36 Submitted with refce to paras 30 & 31 Supra<br><br>8/8/20 372/<br>13/8/20 Rev. Secy. Dy 14-8<br><br>38) A draft reply to the Residency may now be prepared explaining the results of the joint inspection of the D.C. & the Collector Cs. & Mr., and the views of the Local Bd. in the matter.<br>Dy 16.8.20.<br><br>39) A draft is put up for approval. Dy<br><br>8/8/20 40) Revised draft put up.<br>Recommended for submission to Govt<br>Dy 24.8 |

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2.

PP

General and Revenue Secretariat.

DB File No. 146 of 1919

| Serial No. | |
|---|---|
| | 41) Typed draft subd. |
| | Sd 24/8/20 |
| | 42) Rev. Secy. 24-8 |
| Clean typed draft necessary as revised 1318-317 26-8-20 | 43) 2nd member) 25-8-20 |
| attended to Sd 28/8/20 | 44) The d. on p. with he all the behis for fame decision. It is rather loose & wandering as it has to land. 30.8.20 |
| | Rev. Secy 45) 2nd member) 4.9.20 |
| 1568-153 6.9.20 | 46) This is a Political matter & the letter from the D.R. is also addressed to the Ch. Secy. Who may deal with the matter further therefore 7.9.20 |
| | 47) Ch. Secy.) 8.9 |
| Put up Response Treaty | 48) Draft d. C. subd. Checked 49) Issue 29/9 |

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2

General and Revenue Secretariat.

R.P. File No. 146 of 1919.

Serial No.

50) Mr. M. A. Narayana Iyengar called on Sunday the 1st Octr., and as the result of the discussion that took place between him and the Chief Secretary the following note is prepared.

51) The proposals contained in the draft letter to the Residency do not meet the situation. The only power proposed to be taken in respect of the sanitation of the area is that of extending the Village Sanitation Rules to the villages comprised in it. This, however, is insufficient in asmuchas the Village Sanitation Rules and the Regulation itself extend only to the village sites of the several villages and not to the agricultural and other lands attached to such villages.

52) From the Residency letter No. 4033 dated 5th May 1920, however, it would appear that what is required is control over the lands adjacent to the C & M. Station, even more than control over the village sites of the adjoining villages. All special sanitary Regulations and measures for conserving industrial and other concerns located in such land may be provided for, if approved, by means of special Bye-laws issued under the Local Boards

Can

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

Regulation Sections 38, 40 and 41. But the Local Board Regulation does not contemplate the control of building operations and the regulation of ordinary conservancy. It is in respect of these that our present law is inadequate for effectively meeting with the requisition of C & M. Station authrities. Under Article 8 of the Mysore Treaty, Government have to carry out in the lands adjoining British contonments such sanitary measures as the Governor-General in Council may declare to be necessary. This provision has, however, not now been quoted by the Residency. It is better to foresee such a position and provide against it.

53) Control over building operations may to some extent be exercised in Government villages by virtue of the powers vested by the Land Revenue Code. Even that method is not available in respect of the 9 Kayamgutta villages near the Cantonment Station

54) If the control over buildings under the Land Revenue Code alone will suffice it would probably do to acquire the Kayamguttadar's rights in their villages But there is the ordinary Conservancy the provided for. The only satisfactory course appears to be to take power under the ~~Municipal~~ L.B. Regulation to ~~extend~~ apply the Sanitary Bye-laws of any Municipality to areas adjacent to it and vest the administration of the Bye-laws in such areas either in the ~~Municipal~~ L.B. Board

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | PP File No. 146 of 1919

Serial No.

Con... ~~Council~~ or in the Local Revenue Authority according to circumstances. A remote solution would be to bring all those villages within Bangalore City Municipal Council in full; but such a measure will both inconvenience the Municipality in its administration and the population of the area in other matters.

Chepauk 7/10/20

55) Some control over lands in the immediate vicinity of Cantonments & municipalities is very necessary in the interest of sanitary administration, but effective action cannot be taken under the existing law. An amendment of the L. A. Act ~~sometimes~~ in the lines indicated in the above note is necessary. If the suggestion is generally approved a draft bill will be put up.

56) A reply to the last can only be given after the main lines of taxation proposed are decided upon.

2nd member
3rd member
Dewan

57) I am inclined to share the view above

2213-174.
9.10.20.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

(18

[G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

99 File No. 146 of 1919

Serial No.

Expressed to the Ch. Secy. It seems true that an amendment of the L. B & T. P Regn is indicated. A reply to the Residency as long one here but one cannot be despatched till we hear exactly wherein what we are required to do.

Rees
12.10.20.

2442
14-10-1920

58) Important some of the measures proposed in the draft rules do not appear wise. I am not in favour of (1) asking the municipal Commissioners of Bangalore to share the cost of the Special Sanitary establishment. This will lead to a lot of interference, if not new laws, by the British authorities in the administration of this area.

(2) The Dy Comm^r himself taking custody of the monies in his own hands. This will lead to delay & clash also with the powers of the Taluq Boards.

(3) Making a difference between the Dy Comm^r & the Collector & Magistrate & he referred to the Hon'ble the British Resident

NOTE—Contn. sheet

G. R S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

P.Y. File No. 146 of 1919.

Serial No.

Mei ammens practically da current
y jurisdiction.

(4) Mu interest yhi Kayam pattadars
shi acquired all hi compensation being
paid from hi Revenues y C & M states
Mei will head to claims take in which
hi will h depend to decide.

5) 6) Mu entering y a contract area a
valli that y an uncontracted area is not
an incident pending to hi C & M state
Bungalow - Mei hig cities and Cantonments must h labouring under hi same
disadvantage - What special measures
are adopted in such areas may h
hopefully ascertained and introduced.

60) It is a mei take to say that hi area
contracted by a Sanitary Board & a district
Board is an uncontracted area - We have
(a) (b)
hereby hi L. B Regulation, hi Sanitary
(c)
Regulation, hi Epidemic diseases Regulation
and rules recently framed for hi prevention
y hi outbreak & spread y Cholera & plague
hi prevalence hi Mysore - Mere is also a district
Sanitary pres being will hi to Sanitary
staff & supervise hi Sanitary work.

Mu best solution y mei depends.

(a) Contains no mandatory provisions
(b) applies only to [illegible]
(c) does not apply to ordinary Municipalities

Ups. Both these should have from 60.

NOTE—Contn. sheet

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

PP File No. 146 of 1919.

Serial No.

problem arises due to ~~introduce~~ establish Village Panchayats in all the Villages in this area and make the District Sanitary Officer responsible for the whole supervision of the Village Panchayats in this area in the same way as a Municipality does under Section 54 of the L.B. Regulations all the duties connected with the Sanitation can be taken over by the Village Panchayats and this can be depended & enlarged by the special rules that may be framed by the District Board Section 62 for this area as stated above may be placed under the control of the District Sanitary Officer & the cost taken from the Budget allotment for Sanitary purposes & the difference between the Sanitary Officer's pay may be shared in the same way as the difference arising between the Budgetary allotment of Municipal Council & the C & M Municipal Council

Whether

Q. 2 in material whether the Village is first in the area since Regulation Section 62 applies to Village Panchayats also

Yes. Draft Rules is the question attached?

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Recd. on OCT 21 1920
Reg. No. 3362

D.O.C. No (140)

61) Dewan 20/10/20

62) 23/10/20

63) Council Section Circulate. 23.10.20

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

at a Meeting.
(For Disposal by Circulation.) D 26 No. C. 309

.....1920.

# GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

**Council Matter.**

SUBJECT.
(Clause xii of the meeting)

Question of control over the land in the Mysore State situated close to the boundaries of the Civil & Military Station, Bangalore.

CIRCULATED TO THE MEMBERS OF COUNCIL.

By Order,

.....1st November 1920. *Chief Secretary to Government.*

---

**Extraordinary Member of Council.**

106 / 4/11 **First Member of Council.** 64) I don't think any legislation is required 3.11

**Second Member of Council.** Seen.

**Third Member of Council.** Seen.

C.309

1856

"D" - ~~16~~. 6

Question of control over the lands in the Mysore State, situated close to the boundary of the C & M. Station, Bangalore. (P.P.146-19).

...

OPINION OF THE COUNCIL.

65) It may be pointed out to Govt that Govt have powers under regns to control sanitation - etc. Draft the paper -

CMG 13/12/20

66) To secret Meeting up in paper

CMG 13/12/20 M 14/12

67) Draft sub[d] as instructed yesterday. It appears necessary to amend the L.B. & V.P. Regulation so as to attach penalties for violation of rules issued under Sec 62 of that Regn & also the Village Sanitation Regulation so as to define a village as conterminous with the revenue limits of the village so far as it is not inconsistent with the context.

68) Chairman } In approval 2nd member } P.T.O Dewan } CMG 22/12/20 22/12/20

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

N P File No. 146 of 1919.

| | Serial No. | |
|---|---|---|
| 3562-154<br>22-12-20<br><br>Rec'd 29.12.20 MB | 69 | The drafts seem to me to be suitable & I have initialled them as regards the proposed amendments in the Regulations, it is matter which has to be dealt with in the Legis. Branch.<br>Rec'd<br>28.12.20<br>A/29/12 |
| | 70 | The drafts sent by Sec. A.G.G.-in-C. with letter of which these are copies & enclosures should be returned in the usual course<br>with<br>31.12.20 MB 4/1/21 |
| | 9. | To the F A Resident.<br>Pol 729. of 6.1.21<br>With refce to 2nd 1. States that the area in question has been inspected and the DC Bangalore has been instructed to take steps on the several items mentioned in the letter and trust that the arrangements will meet the requirements of the case |
| | 10. | To the Dy Comr Bangalore — No 12908 d/6/1/21 |

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Regd. on DEC 29 1920
Reg. No. 4437

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

C C File No. 146 of 1919.

Serial No.

10 To Copy & slg & request him to take steps on the several points refd to in his letter

1st Resident with A.D.S.N.

2/ 17/1 73) 18/1/21

Chief Secr 74)

Dewan)

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Recd. on JAN 20 1921
Reg. No. 4825

9/1/21

17/1

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

*Submitted to His Highness.*

***

75)

These papers relate to the question of the control of the area adjacent to boundaries of the Civil and Militar Station not included within the Municipal limits.

76) 2. The First Assistant Resident has in his letter No.4033 dated the 5th May 1920 brought to notice certain inconveniences that exist owing to a portion of land contiguous to the Civil and Military Station area which is controlled, being left uncontrolled and requested that the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, may be deputed to go over the ground with the President, Civil and Military Station Municipal Commission as a preliminary to the discussion of a solution in the matter.

77) 3. The Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, after inspection of the spot and a personal discussion with the President of the Civil and Military Station Municipal Commission of the action required to be taken in the matter, reports that the area in question which consists of portions of 26 kayamgutta and Government ryotwari villages is controlled by the provisions of the Mysore Local Boards and village Panchayets Regulation VI of 1918 and the Mysore Village Sanitation Regulation I of 1898 and the rules issue thereunder and that the administration of these Regulations and rules vests in the Bangalore Taluk Board under the control of the Bangalore District Board and the local Revenue authorities.

78) 4. In consultation with the Members of Council a reply has been sent to the Residency that steps will be taken to constitute Panchayets for the several villages individually

W Lees

or in groups, to apply the provisions of the Village Sanitation Regulation to all these villages and to issue the necessary rules and bye-laws for the control of the area.

79) 5. The Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, has also been requested to take the necessary further action in the matter and to propose the necessary rules and Bye-laws for promulgation.

80) 6. The papers are now submitted to His Highness for gracious perusal.

Veerabhadrappa

21st January 1921

**Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of His Highness the Maharaja.**

## *Subject.*

Action taken by Government to control the area adjacent to the boundaries of the Civil and Military Station, Bangalore

828

81) *Order.*

KRW

26/1/21

P.P. k
27.1.21

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

P.P. File No. 116 of 1919

Serial No.

(*)

31. All urgent or confidential files returned with His Highness' orders may, in the absence of instructions or indications to the contrary, be ordinarily circulated to the Members of Council for perusal after the issue of orders.

33. The Secretaries concerned should see that orders in the cases referred to above are issued at once and that the files are returned immediately after to the Chief Secretary for circulation to the Members.

34. In all other cases, the files will first be circulated to the Members of Council at headquarters and then sent to the Secretariat concerned for the issue of orders.

82)

Circulated to the Members of Council for perusal of His Highness' orders ~~before~~ / after issue of orders. (*Vide* Rules 31, 33 and 34 of the Subsidiary Rules for the conduct of business of Council in the Manual of Council Rules and Procedure.)

*Chief Secretary to Govt.*

Through the Secretary to Government,

.....Department.

83) FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL 28/1

84) SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL (on leave)

85) THIRD MEMBER OF COUNCIL. 31/1

CHIEF SECRETARIAT
POLITICAL BRANCH.
8 FEB. 1921

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

P.D. File No. 1146 of 19 tq.

| Serial No. | |
|---|---|
| | 86) Subs with a.D.O. remarks. 28.3.21 87) Agree above 31/3 88) Send extracts of this note d. 7-10-20 & other note d. 22-12-20 in the file and of the 2 Members' & Dewan's orders thereon to the Legis. Branch for further action 31-3-21 |
| | 89) Extract sent to Protection Branch for further action 2/. 8/4/21. |
| 11 | From The Dy Comr, Bangalore. No C1005 dt 6-4-21 Recd 7/4. Stating that he is addressing Govt Officially in the matter of the conversion of Inam villages into village Panchayats. |
| 12 | From The President Dist Board Bangalore. No C1005 dt 6/4/21 Recd 7/4 Requesting Special sanction |

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

for constituting Village Panchayats in Dhani villages adjacent to the boundaries of the Chandrapur Salim; for placing them under control of their administrative Taluk & Distt. Boards.

90) Subd for orders.

2/4 VS

8/4 Dept authorising

91

Boy
11/4

92 Draft prepd. (vide para 4 of the Sub[n] note of 21-2-21 — Para 78 of the note in this file.)

2/4 VS

12/4

Boy
18/4.

Recd[d] / Chqd[d] } 14.4.21

93 Is a special Law necessary? Does not L.B. Act apply to Dhani villages? (Should be noted in L.B. Act?)

2/4

Boy
20/4/21

94) Retd[d] / L.B. Secy. / with Compliments } 20/4/21

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | P.P File No 146 of 1919

Serial No.

96 Special Sanction of Govt is not necessary for Constituting the village Panchayats in question

The D. B. of V.P. Regn applies to Dhanu village also (vide Sec 48 of the Regn

A draft calling for the strengths of each of the V.P. etc is subd for approval.

Sd/- 4/4/19 2/4 96 Issue Sd/- 2-4-19

13/4 Do

The D.C. Bhiwani Dis. No R 11816 D 25-4-21. Requests him to forward proposals for the Constitution of village Panchayats for the Dhanu village ref to in his letter

Sd/- [illegible]

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

P.P File No. 146 of 1919.

Serial No.

14 From

The Secy to the President-
No 4034 M 27/4/21 Rec'd 27/
stating that the President,
Municipal Commission, C & M.
Station, has expressed doubts
regarding the practical efficacy
of the measures outlined in Sl
and that the Hon'ble the Resident
would be glad to discuss the
question with the Dewan at
a date to be fixed later.
Subd for orders.

97) 2/ Kind reference is invited to
28/4 paragraphs 51 to 60 & 65 & 67 of these
notes. Reference is invited also to para: 3 of Fr. A.R.'s letter
of 5-5-1920 (81 in the file)
Chief Sec'y

28/4/21.

99)
Dewan)

100)

101) 20.5.21
2nd Member)

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Recd. on MAY 19 1921
Reg. No. 7236

6629
20.5.21

NOTE—Contd. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

P. P. File No. 1461 of 19 19

Serial No.

102) It must be impressed upon the Local Bodies that the Village Sanitary Boards must be constituted with all the Sanitary powers mentioned in Section 32 - 36 of the Regulation and be can carry out all the Sanitary Measures needed for the area in question of the Village Panchayats for which the Taluk Board is constituted. The C.M.O. should address the Taluk Board in this connection and he will in consultation with the Village Panchayats carry out the Sanitary measures of a reasonable nature either from the V.P. funds or from Special funds allotted for Sanitary improvements.

103) The District Health Officer or the Chief Sanitary Inspector who ever might be in charge of the District Sanitary Work will be responsible for the suppression of nuisance.

104) I have not been able to understand the exact purport of para 3 of the Resolution. Mr. [illegible] a little vague & unsatisfactory. The procedure emphasised in para 1 that the Sanitary Officers of the C.M. Taluk are not entrusted

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

P.P. File No. 146 of 1919.

Serial No. 6628

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Recd. on MAY 26 1921
Reg. No. 7357

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Sir Louis Has Been Chief
Secretary for favour
of Study and returned
to the Darra Sahib
Wednesday (17th) evening for
his personals
M.S.K.
16.8.21.

Note received & Answered
by
17/8

## Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Recd. on AUG 15 1921
Reg. No. 6033

106)
Re recruitment of laundry
contractors for Bangalore
Civil Station & Municipality
within the Bangalore City limits.

Instructions issued thereon
Read entries therein
dated 19th
15/8/21

Submitted to the Dewan

107) Before I can clearly
state my views, the Resident
brought with him numerous
papers which I have not had time to read.
If possible, I should like to have
a note, a statement, explaining
some simple basic facts about
the form of discussion
& in support of our views. If the
Chief Engineer or Revenue Secretary
18.8.21.

Ch. to 9)

108) The Dewan met the Resident
& Engineers after this case in
which the Resident may be
awarded
dated
19/8/21

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

G. R. S. III-2)

General and Revenue Secretariat. | P.P. File No. 116 of 1919.

Serial No.

14 From

The Secy to the Resident

No 7501 M 23/8/21

Forwarding a copy of the Notes of discussion prepared by the Hon'ble the Resident requesting to be informed of the steps proposed to be taken to give effect to the deliberations.

109) Dewan's order.

Chief Secy. Please bring up early.

(Sd.) T.K.

23/8/21

110) Subd with refs

Hindi/refers to matters dealt with in paras 53 & 54 of the Notes & para 78 of the notes (Subject note) Further action has to be taken by the Revenue Chiefly

Dy 23/8.

112) Manuscript as N 2

Dy 23/8

113) Subd with a D.O.

Dy 30/8

114) Chiefly

115) Manuscript

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

P. N. File No. 146 of 1919.

Serial No.

(116)
H. 14/11/19. D.S.71.25 Encld
2/Nil
C. 31/8/19. (117)
Chupsedy
(118) Dewan)
(119) Cdd.
5-9-21
(120) Submit Jan Cdy 4
618

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Recd. on SEP 7 1921
Reg. No. 1562

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25,000—30-4-15

(W) Submitted to His Highness.

...

These papers relate to the question of the control of the sanitation of the area adjacent to the boundaries of the Civil and Military Station not included in the Bangalore City Municipa limits.

Pages 25 & 26 of office Notes

2. As already stated in my submission note dated 21st January 1921 the Residency was informed that steps would be taken to constitute panchayets for the several villages individually or in groups, to apply the provisions of the village sanitation Regulation to all these villages and to issue the necessary rules and bye-laws for the control of the area.

3. In reply to this communication the Residency stated that the measures proposed were not adequate. They enquired (i) who would inspect the areas, to ensure that nuisances do not spring up; (ii) to whom should the President of Civil and Military Station Municipality appeal direct when cases come to his notice; and (iii) who would be responsible for suppressing such nuisances. They urged also that it was not only within the belt of two furlongs that control was desired, but that in this belt there should be something approaching a prohibition of house building etc.

4. I discussed the question with the Hon'ble the Resident the 19th August 1921. As the result of discussion it was agreed that " if the Durbar could see their way to accepting t principle stated in para 3 of the Residency letter of the 27th April 1921 and take practical measures to prohibit building w in a quarter of a mile of the Civil and Military Station bou (save in exceptional circumstances when the assent of the Ci and Military Station authorities will be sought and full we

given to all reasonable objections urged by them) all the difficulties would be met."

5. The Residency now request to be informed what steps would be taken to give effect to the above deliberations.

6. The existing regulations appear to be inadequate to ensure sufficient control over the erection of buildings on lands outside Municipal limits and not included in village sites. But the necessity for some measure of control has been felt not only with reference to the lands adjacent to the Civil and Military Station, but also near our own large towns. As legislation appears to be necessary, the matter will be further examined, and a draft bill prepared and submitted for orders.

7. Meantime the papers are submitted for His Highness' gracious perusal.

Secretary to Govt.

7th Sept. 1921

599

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of His Highness the Mahaŕaja

## *Subject.*

Further action taken in the matter of bringing under control the lands situate close to the boundaries of the Civil and Military Station, Bangalore.

279 1220

## *Order.*

KRW
20/9/21

1237
224-21

1241
This should
in consultation with L.S. & P.W.D.
Genl-
authorities by the
[illegible]
WK Crt 21/9

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

P.P. File No. 146 of 1914. 599

Serial No.

125) Subd. 16th ref: 10. para 124.

2/ Nov 1914 126) Recd favour of a note on the legal & leg aspects & the legislative action immediately necessary, in the light of previous discussions & of drafts from the legislative point of view. Bro 24/9

Asst Sec'y Inst & Legis) Works Compliments

127) Three courses seem to be open to bring about the desired result :-

(1) As suggested by the Third Member, to act under Sec. 60 of the Local Boards & Village Panchayets Regulation (Vide para 102), so far as the present case is concerned, such action is enough. But, if, as the Dewan desires, provision has to be made once for all, for all cases that may arise in our State viz., for areas outside the purview of village Panchayets - the provision suggested may not be adequate.

(2) Under Section 42 (1) of the Local Boards and Village Panchayets Regulation to except this area (or any other area when it is necessary in the case of other towns) from the operation of the Regulation, and then under Sec. 42 (2) ~~of~~

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

to frame rules to bring about the desired result. The Deputy Commissioner or under his general supervision the District Board, with a special staff, may be put in charge. This, however, means money, which the LocalBoards may not care to provide, as the area is - taken out of their jurisdiction. The rules will moreover be a repetition of the rules u under Sanitary Regulation and Local Board & Village Panchayets Regulation.

(3) The easiest method appears to be to so amend the definition of 'Village' in the Village Sanitation Regulation, as to include any particular area - it may be agricultural lands even - as may from time to time by notification be made subject to the Village Sanitation Regulation and Rules thereunder. The Deputy Commissionerand the Local Boards have control in that case and the Rules provide fully for all that is now wanted by the C & M Station authorities, and all that may be necessary in other places in the State it self, including restrictions on building. No extra expense appears to be necessary, unless for the better management of it, any Special staff is appointed. As it is, the Sanitary

Serial No.

Officer, Sanitary Inspector and staff will look after it. They may be directed to pay special attention to these areas. Penalties are also provided in Sec. VIII of the rules. It will be desirable to specify the officers who have to take action and launch prosecutions. That is all that is necessary.

I find in the file a draft of bye-laws proposed to meet the requirements. They are under Secs. 38,40 to 42 of Local Boards and Village Panchayets Regulations. They are, however, a repetition of the rules already in force under the Sanitary Rules and Local Boards Regulation. If the measure proposed under (3) above, is adopted, there is no need for any such repetition.

Action under (1), (2) and (3) above whichever is approved - will be taken.

C. Abdul Ghani
9.10.19.

128) Minister (Revenue Branch) Subd for orders as to the course to be followed.
Chargeé d'A. 17/10

129) I wish to discuss this matter with Messrs C.B. & Mr Reid, Legs. about the 26th inst.
23/10

130) As a result of the discussion

G. R. S. III-2

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 146 of 1919.

Serial No.

with the Chief Secretary, and Under Secretary Confidential Branch, certain changes appear to be necessary. It was pointed out that power to prohibit building should exist. So far as suggestion (3) under the Sanitary Rules is concerned that power exists, but is restricted to refusing permission on sanitary grounds. I would add the words "or other" between 'Sanitary' and 'grounds' in Sec III cl (3) This will give the desired power to prohibit building whenever this necessary.

a slight alteration in clauses 13 & 14 of Sec III appears to be necessary. for the words "within the boundary of the village" in both clauses, it may be necessary to substitute "within the inhabited area". and for the words 'village boundary" occurring in lines 8 & 9 of cl 14, the words "inhabited area' may have to be substituted. With the proposed amendments, all that is desired will be obtained.

If action is to be taken under the Local Boards Regulation it will be necessary to introduce a new-

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2)

General and Revenue Secretariat.

P.P. File No. 146 of 1919

Serial No.

Clause under Sec (8), giving power regarding the regulating by the Boards of ~~building or rebuilding by~~ private buildings, unless cl (j) may be stretched to include such power. I would suggest an addition of "Regulating private building or rebuilding" as cl (j), and the numbering of the present second clause (j) as clause (K).

After such an amendt ~~action~~ action can be taken under Sec 42 as already suggested; and the rules to be framed under 42. cl (2) may place the area under the direct control of the Deputy Commissioner, with all the rules under the ~~Sanitary Regulation~~ rules under the Village Sanitation Regulation made applicable to the area, with an addition perhaps that the cost should be met by the local Boards.

Another alternative is to add a new section as 42 (A) on the lines of Sec 42, with power to regulate or prohibit building, ~~in addition~~ 42 (A) cl (2) would give power to frame the necessary rules. But, I believe,

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 146 of 1915

Serial No.

the proper place to embody such power is under Sec (8) as suggested above. That is also the easier method. But however a question whether power to regulate building by private parties can come within the legitimate scope of the local Boards. Submitted for orders, as to which course is to be adopted.

Ch: Secy

cag 29.11.21

124) Reverting up for Govt orders on the 21st inst

Govt

132) I doubt whether the proposed amendment to the V.S. rules is ultra vires under the Act which permits of rules being made only with reference to the requirements of sanitation.

Leaving a new ~~class~~ subject under sec 8 & then exempting these areas under sec 42 is open to the objection that new powers are given to the Board in respect of these areas also for which there is no demand.

In principle I feel the present V. S. Regn should be repealed & a fresh comprehensive

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2

General and Revenue Secretariat.

GO File No. 146 of 1919.

2002/9

Serial No.

Rep. with bill. taking power in respect of the present subject also. Mere carried the Govt. Advocate unofficially as to the best means of giving effect to the object in view. The matter should be regarded as very urgent.

by 21/11/21

Govt. Vide 15 of 21-22 dt 21-11-21.

133) The Govt. Advocate in favour of the needful

B. O.
C Abdul Khan
Asst Secy

GEN & REV. SECT.
CB
28 NOV 1921

No. Ag 69/GR-22 dt 26.11.921

134) The power intended to be taken and provided for adequately is, I understand, the power to prohibit building in certain areas.

Sections 8 and 54 of the Local Boards and Village Panchayets Regulation VI of 1918 deal with matters coming within the ambit of the Local Boards' and Village Panchayets' activities. Prohibition of building does not appear to come within such provisions. The inclusion of such a power in those provisions may neither be required nor desired at present.

The Village Sanitation Regulation

16350

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

I of 1898, as at present in force, provides a simple and direct and perhaps the most convenient and expeditious method of obtaining the desired object. But the Regulation applies only to 'villages' as defined in S. 2 thereof, that is, to inhabited sites excluding municipalities governed by Regulation. And further, under S. 3 thereof, the Government can make rules only regarding the matters specified in that Section. I am not quite certain, as at present advised, whether in Rule 3 of the Rules framed under the Regulation the portion reading "Such permission may be refused if the Sanitary agency is of opinion that the building or the rebuilding of the house is objectionable on Sanitary grounds." and the portion reading "the Sanitary Agency may require that the house shall not be built within five feet of the Street" are quite within the literal scope of S. 3 of the Regulation. Clauses (a), (b) & (c) of the Reg. Section are apparently

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2.

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 46 of 1921.

Serial No.

applicable. Clause (d) reads as follows- "Improve the sanitation of the Villages in this similar respects". The word 'similar' would, I take it, have to be interpreted in reference to the matters specified in clauses (a), (b) & (c) of the Section.

I venture to think therefore, that the Village Sanitation Regulation, which was enacted so far back as 1898 may now be repealed and a new Regulation passed — defining the word 'village' so as to include any particular area to which the provisions of the Regulation may be made applicable and specifically providing for the power to prohibit building in such areas.

Dhanjisha
Jr. Asst.

25.11.21.

135
Ch. Secy)

136 Impl. Asst. further instructions
to
agts

grd Records)

Cary
28/11

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 146 of 19 4

| Serial No. | |
|---|---|
| | 137) Un lands outside the limits of a village are called assessed lands or fort waste - under the Revenue Code Rules a person who [illegible] cultivating his land may use them for agricultural purposes. But such an occupation under Rule 5 of the Regulation is amended, the power prohibiting building being exercised to all agricultural lands in the village. In the latter case the power is used by the Board and there is no particular for prohibition except in case of lands for which a person already pays in his rate. |
| 3262/7/11 | |
| | 6/12 |
| | 138) Please circulate to Council. Remarks & opinion & confirmation |
| | 8-12-21. |
| | 139) Circulate |
| Cs 8/12/21 | |

NOTE---Contn. sheet.

2 P.P.146-C/

599

Subject. -

Question of control over the lands situated close to the boundaries of the C. & M. Station, Bangalore, and not included in the City Municipal limits.

...

OPINION OF THE COUNCIL.

not possible to give effect to the proposals under the existing. not expedient to undertake legislation, unless city is given information comment & draft Bye laws. Mr Gwatkin Essendy Cit. Bye laws, when are also to be examined by

140) Under Secy. (E.A)

Request advice this Dept 2 findings, showing is related to the Cit Corpn. Bye laws in

P.P. 7/1/22
Draft to Secy Corpn Office
10/1/22

11/1/22

Draft-Subm
13/1/22
13/1

Issued No 4339 Dt 14.1.22.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

PP File No. 146 of 1922

| Serial No. | |
|---|---|
| 25 | To The Dy. Comr Bangalore Distt. No 4339/PP dy 14.1.22. Requests him to forward a plan showing the Lands in the belt of 2 furlongs from the boundary of the C & M Station and their relation to the City & C & M Station Municipalities. &c. |
| 26 | From The Dy. Comr Bangalore Distt No C 1005 dy 25.1.1922. With refree to Sl. 25 above states that the tracing of the Lands refrd to will be subd in the course of about 2 weeks &c. |
| 27 | From The Dy. Comr, Bangalore. No C. 1005 dy 2.2.22. With refree to Sl. 25 above forwards the plan asked for. (41) Subd with ref. to paras 140 of the Rules. G. 3/2 |
| 28 | To The Dy. Comr, Bangalore Distt No 4896/PP dy 3.2.1922. With refree to Sl 27 above requests him to cause instruct the Distt Surveyor who drew the plan to see the Chief Secy on the 6th inst. |

Plan given back to the Surveyor for revision 6/2

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

P.P. File No. 146 of 1922 8016

Serial No. 29

From

The Dy Comr, Bangalore Distt

No C 005 Dy 4. 2. 1922.

With reference Co Sl. 28 above informs that he has instructed the Distt Surveyor to wait upon the Chief Secy on the 6th Instt. with the revised plan since received.

143) 8/2 Chapman 8/2

2/2 144) Please prepare reply as instructed by Govr.

8/2 Govr

8/2/22 145) Draft put up

9/2 2/2 146) Please see

11/2

Submitted to Govr

11/2

Govr 147) Supt. Offs. Please write to the Commissioner and let him have remarks, if any, and also mention the Supt. Offs name

14/2/22

148) No remarks by Govr 16/2/22

149) 16.2.22

GOVERNOR'S OFFICE.
Received on FEB 11 1922
Reg. No. 42444

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

4339-S.
16.2.22.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Y.Y. File No. 146 of 1919.

Serial No.

15) Subd with M. D. E. 4.

2. Mm/
21/2 15) Rm
21/2

Chapter

15-2)
Secretary) 4
21/2

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Recd. on FEB 21 1922
Reg. No. 1476

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

153

# Submitted to His Highness.

These papers relate to the question of the control of the sanitation of the area adjacent to the boundaries of the Civil & Military Station not included in the Bangalore City Municipal limits.

Pages 38 & 39 of Office Note

As stated in my Submission Note dated 7th September 1921, the matter was further examined in consultation with the Government Advocate and in the result it is found that under the existing laws it is not possible to prohibit building within a quarter of a mile of the Civil & Military Station boundary.

Page 51 of do

The matter was informally considered in Council on the 5th January 1922. The Council was of opinion that it is not possible to give effect to the proposals under the existing laws, that it is not expedient to undertake legislation which is likely to excite unfavourable comment, and that the question of extending the City Municipal jurisdiction over the area may, if necessary, be examined.

Draft for approval

The accompanying draft reply to the Residency on the above lines is submitted for the gracious approval of His Highness the Maharaja.

22nd July 1922

3130

**Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of His Highness the Maharaja.**

***Subject.***

Draft reply to the Residency on the question of the control of the sanitation of the area adjacent to the boundarie of the Civil & Military Station, Bangalore

626

***Order.***

154) KRW
23/2/22

155)
Ch. Sy
25/2/22




Should not the
consent of the Civil
also be first obtained
for this?
156)

This appears to require
enquiry & action. Shall we
await the result of
the enquiry?

157)

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

P.P File No. 14h of ~~1922~~ 1922

Serial No.

30 To

The Secy. to the Resident

No 5357/P.P dt 28.2.1922

With reference to 8th cy supra, states that it is not possible to prohibit building within the area refrd to and that it would be inexpedient at the present time to initiate legislation to

1cy) put a stop for orders as to whether a reply may be awaited or action if any to be taken re the question of extending the City Municipal jurisdiction over the area refrd to.

Gwb 8/2

2/3 Reply from the Residency has to be awaited till ~~the~~ 15/3/22

160) By 1/3

33 From

The Secy to the Resident

No 4682 dt 1/6/22

Stating that the provisions of the existing laws for the administration of the area in question are not sufficient and requesting that the Durbar should examine the question whether the area

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

P.P. File No. 146 of 1919.

Serial No.

could be included in the limits of the Bangalore City Municipality.

161) Sd. with refs

2/ 162)

6/6 Submitted to D.S.N.

Dewan) 163) 2/6/22

4/6

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Recd. on JUN 7 1922
Reg. No. 6897

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Very Submitted to His Highness.

In accordance with His Highness' gracious orders of the 23rd February 1922, a reply was sent to the Residency on the subject of the control of lands in the Mysore State contiguous with the boundaries of the Civil and Military Station, Bangalore, and not included within the City Municipal limits. I now submit for gracious perusal a copy of a further communication (D.O.letter No. 4684 dated the 1st June 1922) received from the Residency.

2. The Municipal authorities of the Civil and Military Station now request that the possibility of adopting the alternative suggestion made by us may be examined, viz., whether a belt of two furlongs round the Civil and Military Station Municipality could be included in the limits of the Bangalore City Municipality and placed under its jurisdiction. This question will now be further examined in communication with the Bangalore City Municipal Council.

Camp-Mysore,
10th June, 1922.

Lo. 6.22

4510

**Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of His Highness the Maharaja**




## *Subject.*

Further communication from the Residency on the subject of the control of lands contiguous with the boundaries of the Civil and Military Station, Bangalore.

991

165) **Order.**

~~KRMS~~

12/6/22

166 Chief Secy
Secy

PT 16/7/22

14/6

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

T P File No. 146 of 1919.

Serial No.

167) Subd with a draft to the President City Municipal Council Bangalore

2/mm/ 19/6 168) Chief Secy 22/6

169) Issued 23/6 mg

34 To

The President, City Municipal Council Bangalore

Dis. 7607. Dy 24-6-22

Requests the President, City Municipal Council, Bangalore ~~Broadcast Streets~~ to examine the question referred to in Sl. 33 & favour Govt with the views of the Municipal Council in the matter.

35 From The President, City Municipal Council, Bangalore.

No. C.J.O. 58 dy 18.10.1922.

With reference to Sl 34 supra, states that the subject was considered in all its aspects by the Council and they have passed the resolution that the Council are not agreeable to take over the belt of land referred to under their jurisdiction.

170 Subd for orders.

2/mm/23/10 171) Chief Secy

Note—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. of 19 1226

Serial No.

1737

Mr Pattinson has explained to the Res't why may be informed that in view of the difficulty of keeping the area under the control of the Dist. & owing to the initiation of legislation H.H.'s Govt would desire to secure cancellation of the area as indicated in order of 16 January

by
25/10

A

DEWAN'S OFFICE
Received on OCT 25 1922
Regd. No. 2419

Dewan 1737 Dewan 25/10

A What is this likely to cost? In his letter of 18.10.22 the Pres't Coch. Mspl Cl refers to expenditure & burden of supervision supposed. The former is found from State funds, are the Mspl. Cl agreeable to undertake the latter? If so, a criteria may I think be found. The Residency will not be entitled with a vague, general, if not assurance of the kind suggested & it will not be fair to no either. It is for him that we pick a solution & the problem ourselves & then tell the Residency definitely what is intended to be done.

Reed
26.10.22

Mr Pattinson
27/10

Dewan 27/10

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2.

General and Revenue Secretariat. | P.P. File No. 148 of 1919

Serial No.

174
Draft put up.
2/.
175
C 27/11 Revised draft submitted as instructed this day
Chapter
Bm 27/11
176
The file is submitted to the Secretary in pursuance of the decision arrived at in connection with the question of the Chief Commissioner's powers to sanction the construction of buildings by Europeans (in some cases) in the Simla Municipal area. It may be observed that the Chief Commissioner has also to deal with the question of the grant of leases for (residential) building purposes on lands outside the Municipal limits.

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Recd. on NOV 27 1922
Reg. No. 3083

Secretary
177
I think any reply to the memorandum should be confined to the question of the Chief Commissioner's powers to sanction the construction of buildings by Europeans in the Simla Municipal area. The question of the grant of leases for residential building purposes on lands outside the Municipal limits should be dealt with separately.

Be 23/11
Bm 27/11

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Both the subjects
Recd 16/12/22
Secy to Govt
Secy to Govt

G. R. S. 111-2

General and Revenue Secretariat.

P.Y. File No. 146 of 1919.

Serial No.

179) A draft reply and a D.S.O. case subd

Dy 18/12 180) Dewany. Bm 20/12.

DEWAN'S OFFICE
REG. ON DEC 20 1922
No. 37374

181) Case should be by Hon'ble Chief Secretary also refer to state prosecutor — as this is a serious offence Ryots Rule 4 Jun 21. & there has been much discussion — The draft letter proposed also is unsuitable.

Dy 21/12

USB 22/12/22

182) Revised draft & D.S.O. subd

Bm 27/12

Dewany 183) Returned as revised

Dy 24.12

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

1847

# Submitted to His Highness.

These papers relate to the question of the control of the area adjacent to the boundaries of the Civil and Military Station not included within the municipal limits. The First Assistant Resident brought to notice in a letter dated 5th May 1920, certain inconveniences that exist owing to a portion of the land contiguous to the Civil and Military Station area, being left uncontrolled and requested that the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District might be deputed to go over the ground with the President, Civil and Military Station Municipal Commission as a preliminary to the discussion of the solution in the matter. The Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, was accordingly instructed to inspect the spot. After such inspection and personal discussion with the President of the Civil and Military Station Municipal Commission of the action required to be taken in the matter, the Deputy Commissioner reported that the area in question which consists of portions of 26 Kayamgutta and Government ryotwari villages is controlled by the provisions of the Mysore Local Boards and Village Panchayet Regulation VI of 1918 and the Mysore Village Sanitation Regulation ~~of~~ I of 1898 and the rules thereunder and that the administration of these regulations and rules vested in the Bangalore Taluk Board under the control of the Bangalore District Board and the local revenue authorities. The Residency was thereupon informed that steps would be taken to constitute Panchayets for the several villages individually or in groups to apply the provisions of the Village Sanitation Regulation to all these villages and to issue necessary rules and bye-laws for the control of the area. In reply, the Residency stated that the measures proposed were not adequate. They enquired (1) who would inspect the areas to ensure that nuisances do not spring up, (2) to whom can the President of the Civil and Military Station Municipality appeal direct when cases come to his notice and (3) who would be responsible for suppressing such nuisances. They urged also that it was not only within

the

the belt of two furlongs that control was desired but that in this belt there should be something approaching the prohibition of house building, subject to certain exceptions. A personal discussion was also suggested and accordingly Sir M. Kantaraj Urs discussed the question with the Hon'ble the Resident on the 19th August 1921. As the result of discussion it was agreed that "if the Durbar could see their way to accepting the principle stated in para 3 of the Residency letter of the 27th April 1921 1922 and take practical measures to prohibit building within quarter of a mile within the Civil and Military Station boundary (save in exceptional circumstances when the assent of the Civil and Military Station authorities will be sought and full weight given to all reasonable objections urged by them) all the difficulties would be met."

It was felt at the time that the existing regulations appeared to be inadequate to ensure sufficient control over the erection of buildings on lands outside municipal limits and not included in village sites and some measure of control was necessary not only with reference to the lands adjacent to the Civil and Military Station but also near our own large towns. The question of undertaking the legislation in this direction was further examined in the Legislative branch of the Secretariat in consultation with the Government Advocate and the matter was also informally considered in Council on the 5th January 1922. The Council was of opinion that it is not possible to give effect to the proposals of the Residency under the existing laws, that it is not expedient to undertake legislation which is likely to excite unfavourable comment and that the question of extending the City Municipal jurisdiction over the area may, if necessary, be examined. The Residency was accordingly informed of this decision with His Highness' order dated the 23rd February 1922. The Municipal authorities of the Civil and Military Station thereupon requested to see that the possibility of adopting the alternative suggestion made by us might be examined

Pages 47 to 49 of office Notes

Page 56 of do

examined, viz., whether a belt of two furlongs round the Civil and Military Station Municipality could be included in the limits of the Bangalore City Municipality and placed under its jurisdiction. The President of the Bangalore City Municipal Council was in accordance with His Highness' order dated 12th June 1922 requested to examine this question and forward the views of the Municipal Council for the consideration of Government. The Municipal Council have now expressed themselves as not agreeable to take over the belt of two furlongs of land referred to under their jurisdiction as it would impose on them a large amount of expenditure and a burden of supervision out of all proportion to the scanty receipts which may be expected to be realised by the imposition of Municipal rates.

I am of opinion that any proposal for adopting special measures of the kind must be considered as not feasible. The move made by the Civil and Military Station authorities may be regarded as an indirect attempt to extend the limits of the Civil and Military Station and secure some manner of control over the area outside its limits but adjacent. The difficulties urged by them exist everywhere and the ordinary laws and regulations ought to be sufficient. I think we should exercise great caution in introducing any new policy as suggested by the Residency in such matters and I think it is a pity that this correspondence has been allowed to proceed on the assumption that a feasible solution was in sight.

Draft for approval

Draft of a final reply on the above lines to the Residency is submitted for the gracious approval of His Highness.

23 DEC 1922

Bangalore,
23rd December 1922.

**Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of His Highness the Maharaja**

***Subject.***

Draft reply to the Residency on the subject of the control of a portion of land adjacent to the boundaries of the Civil and Military Station but not included in the Municipal limits.

55-6

185

***Order.***

~~KRM~~

26/12/22

186

Chief Secy

28 DEC 1922

30/12/22

187

Issue as proposed subject to [illegible]

Members for perusal

29/1/23

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat.

P P File No. 146 of 1923

Serial No. 35

To

The Secy to the Resident

N. Pol 611 dy 8th Jany 1923

With reference to [illegible] 33 supra expresses regret that no satisfactory solution of the problem presents itself to H. H's Govt and to repeat the assurance given in this office letter dy 28th Feby 1922 that everything possible under the existing laws will be done to control the area referred to on the lines indicated in Major Plowden's letter No 729 dy the 6th Jany 1921.

188/ Subd for perusal of H.H's orders. 189)

2/ To submission to the 8/1 members of Council for perusal of H.H's orders

8/1/23. 190)

Ch. Secy.) 191)

1st Member 1 by

2nd Member / 1st

192)

193)

25.1.23

4/2

5-999-683

24.1.23

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2.

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. | of 19

Serial No.

194) Subd for orders as to the further action to be taken in the matter. No reply has been recd from the Resy. till 21 Mar.

2/6/23 21/6 194) The reply, if any, may be awaited, filing these papers in the meanwhile

MAB 23/6

Ch. Secy 195) Before filing the papers please note whether the action promised in our letter of 6 Jan 19 has been taken. Have village panchayats been formed for the Mudaica and Villupuram Rly. applied & have rules & bye laws been issued?

by MJB

MAB 24/6

W. M. S. R. Draft examined by me 6

196) Subd From Sls 11, 12 & 13 in the file it will be seen that action was taken by the D.C. in April 1921 to introduce the village sanitation rules into the several villages and action

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. | of 19

Serial No.

[illegible] On other points was in progress then. There is no information as to the further action taken in the matter.

A draft to D.C. is submitted for approval of other orders.

2/
2-9/6 98/ Issue

Issued No. & 184 dt. 3.4.1923

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III—36

## ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

---

Sus case no 16

199)
Ch Secy's order in Sus
Register

D.C. says replies to be
Bd President is awaited later
says replies to Solicitor Bd Pre-
sident is awaited Solicitor Bd.
President is I believe Amiddin

A.D.O reminded should
be put up asking D.C not to
treat this as a routine matter
he might perhaps discuss the
question in person with the Bd
and D.C. Bd Presidents and
send his replies without further
delay.

Smta 7.9.23
3.9.23

Draft subd — 202)
Mp 4/9/23 Mg Approved.
4.9.23.

Chief Secy. — 201)
Issued
529
7.9.23.
Issued no 9429 dt 5.9.23

*N. B.*—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

Smta
7.9.23

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat. | P P File No. 146 of 1919

Serial No.

37 From The D.C. Bangalore to

D.O. No. A.O. 12/23-24 dt 12.9.23

Forwards a copy of the notes of discussion re the control of the area adjacent to the boundaries of the Cantonment Station Bangalore.

203) Seen. Seeks order

Further report has to be awaited. This may be filed for the information of the Dewan.

Filed.

Dy. 15.9.23

204) In favour of information

11.9.23

Dewan.

205) Seen. Matter to be brought to head quarters.

11.9.23

206) Put up D.O. from D.C.

25.9.23

The KGP 25/9

Draft sub—

25/9/23

I see

26.9.23

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Recd. on SEP 17 1923
Reg. No. 17469

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

P. P File No. 146 of 1919

| Serial No. | |
|---|---|
| 42 | To ... The D.C. Bangalore<br>... No 9350 ... dt 24.9.1923<br>... Requests him to send a report & progress in regard to items I, II & III mentioned in the notes within a fortnight etc |
| 43 | From ... The D.C. Bangalore<br>... No A.C. 12/23-24 ... dt 13.10.23<br>... States that the weekly progress reports have not been received from the President Dt Board and that he has sent a reminder to him |
| 44 | From ... The D.C. Bangalore<br>... D. No 10 12 ... dt 1.11.1923<br>... States that not a single report has been received from the President, Dt Bd., etc and reports progress in regard to the introduction of village Sanitary rule into the remaining villages. |
| 45 | From the same office<br>... DO No He 12/23-24 dy 2-11-23<br>Submits the report since recd from the Dt Bd President.<br>207) From the Dy Comrs repl of 1st Nov. 1923, it is seen that the introduction of Village |

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | PP File No. 146 of 1919

Serial No.

25-7-23

Sanitary rule is the only subject over which he has complete control and that the other two matters are pending with the Dt. T.K. Boards.

Up 23/11/23 Up

208) To enforce the Village Sanitation Rules what is the procedure to be followed? In what is stated in the closing part of the Rai letter of the 15th inst. Commissioner Phan forwarding draft of the Village Sanitation Rules —

Up 5/11

209) To The R.S.G. Branch for favour of noting the information req^d by the Hon'ble Secretary. A copy of the Village Sanitation Rules may kindly be put

Up 6/11/23 Up

210) The procedure to be followed in regard to the introduction of the Village Sanitation Rules into any village has been prescribed under rule 1 of Section I of the Village Sanitation Rules a copy of which is herewith put up.

8.11.23

211) Submitted to the Hon'ble Minister

Up 10/11/23 Up

NOTE—Contin. sheet.

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat. | P.P File No. 146 of 1919

Serial No.

212
Seen
Plsn await further reports from DC
If none is received by the 30th inst, remind DC
12/12

Rem 30-1-23

47 From the D.C Bangalore by No HO 12/23-24/19 dt 12/11.23
States that the Amildar Bangalore T.T reports that sanitation Rules have been introduced into 5 villages out of 11 villages bordering on the Cauvery
Station

212 Subd for information.
Further report will be awaited
Ltr 20/11/23 by
214) P/S/R
215)
Submitted
Sir
30-11-23
216) Sir
1/12
Remr issued No G 9045 dt 3.12.23

Sent 4.12.23

48 From the Dy Comr, Bangalore Dist.
No HC 12/23-24 dy 12-11-23
Reports the progress made in regard

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 146 of 1919-20

Serial No.

the control of the area adjacent to the C'tmst.

(17) The village sanitation rules are reported to have been introduced into all the villages and statistics are being collected for the formation of village Panchayats. The subject of framing bye-laws was still to be taken up by the Dt Bd.

Further reports will be awaited and fortnightly reminders will issue in the usual course.

Subt for information.

4/13/12/23 Sd/ (18) Sd. Rebbit 4/1
Cash 14/12

(19) Remind D-C if further report is not received by the end of this month.

Sd/ B1 Cash 16/12

(20)

Draft write a letter as desired.

Sd/ 3.1.1924 Sd/ (21) Done.
Cash 3/1

Issued vide No 4. 10340 dt 4-1-1924.

S.1 8/1/24 S.1 from the D.C. Bkra by No 12 dt 12.8.1924 with a copy of his letter addressed to the S.D.O. Sadr re the formation of village Panchayats in certain villages

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

| Serial No. | |
|---|---|
| 52 | From Dr D.C. Blore Dr<br>No 12 dt 28.1.1924<br>Dr a copy of his letter addressed to the H.H. Secy forwarding proposals for the formation of 12 more Village Panchayats. |
| 54 | From Dr D.C. Blore Dr<br>No 12 dt 6.3.1924<br>States that proposals for the formation of Village Panchayats in the remaining villages will be submitted to the Secy to H.H. Dept. |
| 55 | From Dr D.C. Blore Dt<br>No 12 dt 2.6.1924<br>Dr a copy of his letter addressed to the H.H. Secy forwarding for sanction proposals for the formation of 4 more Village Panchayats. |
| 56 | From Dr D.C. Blore Dt<br>No 12 dt 4.12.1924<br>States that the President, Blore Dt Board has been requested to intimate what progress has been made with regard to the framing of the necessary bye-laws and when the bye-laws may be expected for sanction etc.<br>Subd further report may be awaited<br>10.12.24 |

General and Revenue Secretariat.

P.P. File No. 446 of 1919.

Serial No.

Please flag at 36 the previous letter

Panchayet Rules - The draft is under the consideration of the H & L Board.

~~(4) Additional Sanitary Staff - Nothing appears to have been done so far~~

2/ TBS 18/12 1912.

226) Submitted with reference to order in para 204 page 73 of notes for perusal

227) Action was promised under three heads and the progress made so far is noted in the last para.

MW 20/12

Dewan 228) Under 2 & 3 the progress is not satisfactory. These should be completed and the fact reported to Government.

C.J. Thomas

18 JAN 1925.

MW

My Draft MW 29/1

230 Draft submitted.

20/1/25

231) MW 29/1

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

Allowed to V/o. KRL 2/ 19/12 TBS 1912.

DEWAN'S OFFICE,
Regd. on 20. DEC. 1924
Reg. No. 4515

Revenue and Revenue Secretariat.

P. P. File No. 146 of 1919

Serial No. 66

RECEIVED
22 OCT 1925
Ref. No. 2069

From

The Dy Comr Bangalore
No C.2. H.C. 12/23-24 dtd 9/10/25
Reporting the action taken in the matter of the control of the area adjacent to Cantonment Station.

2327) Submitted with reference to para 228 of the Notes supra.

2/
C. 21/10

Ist

2337) Orders in para 228 above were communicated to the DC Bangalore (item 3). It is reported that the rules will be revised after the present ~~bills~~ local self Govt bills are passed into laws (item 2) That of the 11 panchayets formed members have been appointed in two, proposals have been made as regards another two and that proposals are called for as regards the remaining seven.

2347) For perusal

Sd H 27/10

Sd

Note—Contn. Sheet

27/10

Serial No. 61

238) Subd with a draft reminder.

2/
2/3/26

...S OFFICE
...OF 16. MAR. 1926
Reg. No. 6762

239) Subd

61

Issued:
No. G. 6129 Dt. 23.2.26.

62

From
The Dy Comm., Bangalore
No C2-2741-25 Dt 9/2/26
Reporting the action
taken in the matter of
the control of the area
adjacent to the Station.

240) Subd for perusal.

2/
13/3

241) Attention invited to paras 233, 234 & 235 (last page)
From the proper report now received it appears that 4 Panchayets to be formed & have been formed & Mgrs have been subd in regard to one. On six proposals are awaited from the Amildars

16/3

Dewan 242) Seen

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 01264—GPB—40,000—17-12-25
App. F. III—21

General and Revenue Secretariat

P.P. File No 146 of 1926.

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Received on
5 JUL. 1926
Reg. No 148

Vide pages 6.5.6.7 for notes for details.

Serial No.

6.2 From the Dt. Magt. Bangalore No. J 2741 4-24-6-26 stating that 5 Panchayets have been formed & proposals called for holding elections in the remaining six panchayets.

241) Subd for perusal & orders if any

242) This file relates to the question of the constitution of Panchayets in the Civil & Military Station of Bangalore & adjacent villages. Attention is invited to paras 239 & 240 for last report. Of 11 Panchayets 4 has been formed and one under consideration.

243) 29.6.26

244) The proposals in the remaining six panchayets said to have been called for ~~when the report was last reported~~ do not seem to have been received.

Dewan

245) D. Magt. may be asked when the proposals to form the remaining Panchayets.

6.7.26

246) Dft

247) Dft Subd

7.7.26 248) Done

Jms A
13/7

NOTE.—Contn. Sheet

WD 01264—GPB—40,000—17-12-25



General and Revenue Secretariat

~~P.P.~~ File No. 146 of 1929

| Serial No. | |
|---|---|
| 63 | To The Deputy Commissioner Bangalore<br>G. 298 of 18.7.1926.<br>Enquires when he proposes to form the six remaining panchayets. |
| 64 | From<br>The Secretary to the Resident in Mysore<br>D.O. letter No. 6126 dated 16th May 1926<br>Forwards copies of correspondence received from the President C & M. Stn: re the control of buildings on the borders of C&M Stn: and requests that the views of the Chief Comr. as to the action they propose to take or have taken on the matter may be intimated. |
| 249) | Chief Secretary's order on p.c.d.<br>"U.S. early" Intd) P.C.D. 17/7 |
| 250) | Under Secretary's order "Sri please put up with the file immediately" Intd) M.V. 16/7 |
| 251) | Submitted with the file as directed. |
| 252) | Kind attention is invited to paras 249 + 254 regarding the present progress. |
| 16/7 253) | We had given an assurance that we would do all that was possible under existing rules to control building. It appears that |

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 01264—GPB—40,000 17-12-25



General and Revenue Secretariat | P.P. File No. 146 of 1929-20

Serial No.

the site on which buildings are being now erected ~~and~~ to which the Cantonment Station authorities are objecting is in a Jodi village. It does not appear whether it is agricultural land and whether the Jodidar could give permission to build on it. The Dy Commissioner may be asked to ~~have~~ hold an inspection made immediately and report if the building ~~can be stopped if~~ is unauthorised and to stop it if it is so. In case it is permissible and cannot be stopped he may take steps to ~~be stopped~~ have the grounds drained if possible ~~stopped~~, that the village does not flow into the Cantonment limits.

254) Order

Mr. Vaz Esq

&

P/.)

19)

255) Draft to Subd

Bore

19.7.26

19.7.26.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 01264—GPB—40,000—17-12-25



General and Revenue Secretariat | App File No. 116 of 1926.

| Serial No. | |
|---|---|
| 65 | To the Dy. Comm<sup></sup>r. Bangalore Dist. Letter no. C. 581/920 h/26 Forwards copies of letters received with Serial 64 and requests him to inspect the site immediately and to stop further Construction if the building on the site is unauthorised and to have the grounds so drained that the sullage does not flow into the Civil and Military Station limits if the building is permissible. |
| 66 | From the Dy. Comm<sup></sup>r. Bangalore Dist. no. B-5-275 of 26/27 dated 8/8/1926. In reply forwards letter no C.L.168 of 1926-27 from the Sub. Dn. Bangalore and states that no action is possible as the land is situated in an inam village and that the alternative Course of having the grounds drained off will be accepted and the persons putting up the buildings forcibly instructed. 2b) The Sub. Dn. Officer has suggested that a village Panchayet should be Constituted to the village of Jeevanhalli and Bye-laws framed under the village Panchayet Regulation of 1918. In case the voters of Jeevanhalli refuse to elect members etc. he suggests that the new Regulation no. 2 of 1926 will have to be brought into force in all the 26 villages. |

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

11/8/26

MSK 11/8/26

WD 01264—GPB—40,000—17-12-25



General and Revenue Secretariat | PP File No. 46 of 1929.

Serial No.

257 Attention is invited to paras 253 & 252 above

258 The Dy Comm^r has sent a report as regards the building proposed to be put up. He says that the land being in an Inam village Govt cannot prohibit the use of it for building purposes. He refers to a GO of 1915 ~~stating that~~ in support of the view that the Govt cannot prohibit the use of agricultural land in an alienated village to a non agricultural purpose.

259 The GO referred seems to mean only that the D.C cannot give permission to such use of land in an alienated village nor prohibit if the Inamdar sanctions it. This latter it should be assumed — only if the Inamdar is within his rights under the L.R Code. / The Code lays down that to exercise the privilege of permitting such use of land in his village the Inamdar should hold a commission from Govt under sec 99 ✱. / When he does not hold such a commission he cannot exercise the privilege. If he exercises it in such a case he can be restrained.

✱ The file as which the order was issued is also put up

260. Whether in the present case Govt can prohibit the use of the land for building purposes depends

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 01264—GPB—40,000—17-12-25

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. | of 192

Serial No.

Therefore as whether the Inamdar has a commissioner from Govt under Secy 9 clause (d) of the L Code.

261. The Revenue Secy may be consulted if necessary as to the correctness of this view.

262. If this view is accepted the Dy Comr will have to be informed that building on the land in question may be forbidden if he considers it necessary and asked to take action accordingly.

263. A reply to the Secy may be framed for orders.

MS 18/8

Ch Secy

Have you seen if the Inamdar has any commission under S. 59 (d) of 99 & 23/V draft to be put up MS 24

265

Draft. submd.

MS 27/8/26

MK 27/8/26

266

Issue

MS 27/8

67 To the Dist. Commr. Bangalore. No. S. 2298 of 28/8/26

Calls for information as in paragraph 264 above.

Govt. MS 30/8

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 01264—GPB—40,000—17-12-25



evenue
it

PP File No. 46 of 1929.

Serial No 68

Letter No. B-5-275/26-27, dated the 23rd Septr 1926 from the Dy. Commr Bangalore reporting that the Inamdar of Jeevanhalli holds no Commn. from Govt. under Section 99(a) of the Land Revenue Code.

Subd. for orders with reference to para 260 b 260

NV 25/9/26 30/9

NSK 25/9/26. Adley

As the Inamdar is not known authorised to exercise the powers the D.C. should prevent him from doing so. Please put up a draft for consideration.

K 1/10 1/10

Draft revised. Please put up a typed draft, as revised, for signature.

4/10

Typed draft submitted

NV 4/10/26

Draft submitted for consideration

NV 4/10/26

NSK 4/10/26

a typed draft to the D.C is accordingly put up, for orders.

For orders, if a communication to the Residency of this action taken, may be sent: Vide para 263 above.

Gen. Secy 4/10

Please issue as desired

Issued 6/10/26 RR 6/10

NOTE.—Contra. Sheet

WD 01229—GPB—37,500—1-9-26
App. F. III—2

Revenue | File No. | of 192

Serial No.

Letter No. G. 42038 of 26/10/26 to the Dy. Comm. Bangalore enquiring Whether steps may be taken to prevent Agri-cultural land being used for non-agri-cultural purposes

Rec. 7/11/26

70 From

The Dy Comm, Bangalore

No. R.S. 275-26/23 dt 4/3/27

Stating that it is doubtful whether the provision under Section 63 of the L.R. Code can be applied in Urban villages & if the Construction of the buildings has to be prevented the only course appears to be to acquire the lands. Submitted for orders.

R

In view of the reply already given to the Residency on this point it does not appear necessary to acquire the lands at present expenditure on that account. Kindly refer is invited to the Dewan's Submission

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

7158

WD 01229—GPB—37,500—1-9-21
App. F. III—2

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No | of 192

Serial No.

Z

CW
Please forward
copies of the
Revenue
manual
9/3
20/3
Please submit
later
via
27/3

Res. 5116?
2/1/14

X
Note dated 23rd Dec 192
2/1 NSL
2/1 16/3

No further action considered
appears necessary. We have
not heard from the Residency
since 1923. The papers may
be recorded

B.

To Dy Secretary
26/4/27

9/3
18/7

NOTE- Contn.Sheet

ORDER OF ch Secy OB
6 MAY 1920
Copy to Dewan

Ld 1494

19006.

DIARY NO.....

CHIEF SECRETARIAT
POLITICAL BRANCH
6 MAY 1920

R. O. 2.—E. P. B.—2—1902.—5000.

No 4033

SECT. PP
FILE 46-19
SERIAL NO. 1

FROM

MAJOR C. T. C. PLOWDEN, I. A.,
FIRST ASSISTANT TO THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE.

D.P. 146-19-1

PM
6/5/20

TO

The Chief Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

*Dated Bangalore,* 5th May 1920

SIR,

I am directed to address you with regard to the question of control over the land in the Mysore State situated close to the boundaries of the Civil and Military Station of Bangalore.

2. This question is confined to that portion of the Civil and Military Station boundary which does not coincide with the boundary of the Bangalore City Municipality. This line runs roughly from the Palace on the northwest round the western boundary of the Station down to a point south of the junction of the Langford and Lalbagh roads. Further, east of the Palace lie the lines of the Mysore Imperial Service Lancers which cover the Station boundary as far as the Ediga and Tannery road. From the Tannery Toad eastward along the northern portion of the Station, there is no control exercised by the City Municipality with the result that difficulties have

begun

begun to crop up. For instance, the President Municipal Commission of the Civil and Military Station recently refused permission to construct a certain building on the Tannery Road. The applicant subsequently defeated the policy inspiring the refusal by acquiring a site a few yards outside the boundary in which he is putting up an identical structure. It is clearly not in the interests of a town that a controlled area should be contiguous to an uncontrolled area. The house in question will not be conserved by a public authority and the Health Officer of the Civil and Military Station will have no authority there. Further east, between Richards Town and the Tobacco Factory, there have sprung up a number of brick kilns which are not permitted within the Station limits in this vicinity. Brick kilns not only constitute in themselves a nuisance to residential localities, but also result in insanitary pits being formed, unless strictly controlled.

3. The Hon'ble the Resident considers that the problem is one of some importance, but should not prove insuperable. As a preliminary to further discussion, he hopes that the Durbar will agree to depute the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore, to go over the ground with Mr. Tasker, President of the Municipal Commission of the Civil and Military Station, who would then explain the point of view of the Municipality. Later on, a meeting might perhaps be arranged between the Resident and the Dewan of Mysore or some responsible officer of the Durbar, at which a solution could be discussed. I am to request that the Resident may kindly be favoured with the views of the Durbar in the matter.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

FIRST ASSISTANT RESIDENT.

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

*Diary No. of letter replied to*.....

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT,
( )
, *the* 191 .

*Date of issue* 20/5/20

*Signed by* Mr B.T.K.

Section ~~P.W. 146-C9~~

File No. ~~146-C9~~

Serial No. 2

P.W. 146-C9-2

No. G 26286 / one enc

To

The Deputy Commissioner

Bangalore District

Sir,

~~I am directed to~~ forwarding herewith a copy of letter no. 493 dated 15th May 1920 from the A.A. Resident, I am directed to request that the same may be received in this office, ~~and to~~ request you to kindly make it convenient to make an engagement with the President, Municipal Commissioner, Cantonment Station, as requested in

regarding the question of control over land in the Mysore State situated close to the boundaries of the Cantonment Station, Bangalore.

*over.*

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

LB.
PP ~~1276-19~~ 1276-19
Section ~~146-19~~ 146-19
File No.
Serial No. 3

*Diary No. of letter replied to*.....

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT,
( )
, *the* 191

No. Rel 1276

*Date of issue* 20th May 1920

*Signed by* Mr C S B Iyer

To The 7A Resident in Mysore

Sir

With reference to your letter no: 4033 & ~~15~~ the 5th instant re the question of ~~one~~ Control over the land in the Mysore State situated close to the boundaries of the Ct. in Station Bangalore, I am directed to state that the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District has been instructed

*over.*

to meet the President of the Municipal Commission of the Station Bauquelone, and to proceed with him to the grounds in question, as supported by you.

+ further Communication will be made regarding the views of the Bureau on the subject in the matter of the enterprise intended.

I have the—

For the Secretary

List of enclosure

Copied by

Examined by

WD 55—GPE—60,000—1911: 1

DIARY NO. 20164
SECTION
FILE NO. PP 146-19-4.
CHIEF SECRETARIAT
POLITICAL BRANCH.
3 JUN 1920

PP 1/6/20 card 2/6/20

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

No. H.C. 935 9/19-20 Dated (Camp) MYSORE, 25th May 1920.
Encl: one

P.P. 146-19-4

From

M. A. Narayana Iyengar, Esquire, B.A., B.L.,
Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.

To

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esquire, B.A.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
(Camp) M Y S O R E.

Sir,

I have the honour to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No.G.26286/P.P.146-19-2 dated 20th May 1920 together with its enclosure. I inspected the lands mentioned in the reference along with the Amildar and Civil Officer and thereafter called on the President, Municipal Commission, Civil and Military Station, Bangalore, and talked over the matter with him.

2. The letter No.4033 dated 5th May 1920 addressed by the First Assistant to the Resident to the Chief Secretary appears to imply that no control is exercised over lands in the Mysore State situated close to the boundaries of the Civil and Military Station excepting the portion that relates

to the City Municipality. This is evidently due to a misapprehension. The area contiguous to the Civil and Military Station, but outside the City Municipal limits consists of portions of 26 villages in the Bangalore Taluk, 9 of which are Nayamgutta villages and the rest Government villages as in the enclosed statement. The direction of the sanitation of these villages rests with the Taluk Board of Bangalore under the superintendence of the District Board. I quite agree that it is clearly not in the interest of the Civil and Military Station, Bangalore, that a controlled area should be contiguous to an uncontrolled area. It must also be admitted that the degree of control to be exercised over the contiguous area of such vast importance as the Civil and Military Station should necessarily be much more than that could be exercised over an ordinary District Board area.

3. The special measures that seem suitable and sufficient in the present instance both to the President of the Civil and Military Station and myself are as follows:--

(1) The introduction of the Village Sanitation Regulation into all the 26 villages bordering on the Civil and Military Station.

(2) The appointment of a special Sanitary Inspector and a suitable staff of menials to look after the sanitation and conservancy of these villages under the direction of the District Board at a cost of about Rs.100 per month, a moiety of which may perhaps be charged to the Civil and Military Station as these measures will be worked more in the interest of the Civil and Military Station than that of the District Board.

(3) The permission to house building, erection of brick kilns, dumping manure, allotment of

grounds for burial and burning purposes and such other matters as may spring up in a belt of two furlongs all round the Civil and Military Station to be subject to the direct orders of the Deputy Commissioner in preference to that of his subordinates.

(4) The meeting of the Deputy Commissioner of the Bangalore District and the President of the Civil and Military Station, Municipality to be arranged once every quarter in order to discuss and settle outstanding matters of importance relating to the sanitation of this belt of land, together with permission to these officers to correspond directly with each other on such matters.

(5) Where these two officers could not agree on any point the matter may be decided by a reference to the Durbar and the Hon'ble the British Resident.

(6) It is possible that the enforcement of these measures may clash in some instances with the vested interests of the Kayamguttadars or holders of lands in Government villages. Some provision may, therefore, be necessary to compulsorily acquire those interests by paying a compensation. The amount of compensation payable in any instance will have to be assessed by the Deputy Commissioner of Bangalore and the interests of the Kayamguttadars may be acquired for the President of the Civil and Military Station by him on payment of compensation from the revenues of the Civil and Military Station.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

M A Nanjundappa

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

List of villages which are contiguous to Civil and Military Station in the Bangalore Taluk.

---

| Sl.No. | Name of the village. | Whether Govt. or Kayamgutta village. | Remarks. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1. | Devaraibanahalli. | Kayamgutta. | House newly constructed about which there is now reference. |
| 2. | Kalgundanahally. | Do. | |
| 3. | Kacharchankhalli | Do. | |
| 4. | Lingarajpura. | Do. | Lands in which brick kilns are made. |
| 5. | Byateguttipalya | Do. | |
| 6. | Banasavadi. | Do. | |
| 7. | Mayappanahalli. (Manavarta Kaval) | Government. | |
| 8. | Byappanahalli. | Kayamgutta. | |
| 9. | Binmangala. (Manavarta Kaval) | Government. | |
| 10. | Tipsandra (Manavarta Kaval) | Do. | |
| 11. | Tipsandra. | Kayamgutta. | |
| 12. | Kodihalli. | Do. | |
| 13. | Chelgutta. | Government. | |
| 14. | Belur Nagasandra. | Do. | |
| 15. | Sinivagalu. | Do. | |
| 16. | Ijipura. | Do. | |
| 17. | Hadgodi. | Do. | |
| 18. | Arekempanahalli. | Bechirak. | |
| 19. | Annipura. | Do. | |
| 20. | Dodbylakhane. | Near the City. | |
| 21. | Sampagehalli. | Do. | |
| 22. | Malenahalli. | Do. | |
| 23. | Upparahalli. | Do. | |
| 24. | Byadarahalli. | Near Palace. | |
| 25. | Viyali Kaval. | Government. | |
| 26. | Matadahalli. | Do. | |

Deputy Commissioner.

(G. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to 8746

Section

File No.

Serial No.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

the 191 .

No. R. 13884

Date of issue 15-6-20

To

Signed by

The Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

Sir

With reference to
your letter No HC 935
of 1920 dated 25th May
1920 suggesting for
consideration and orders
of Govt certain special
measures deemed
suitable for Control
exercising Control over

in respect of Sanitation over certain
lands in the Mysore State
situated close to the
Cantonment of the C & M. Station etc,
I am directed to invite
your attention to your
No 3828-37 / in C 394-13-2
dated 12th May 1914 and
to rules 2 and 3 of
the Rules under the
Village Sanitation Regulation
I of 1898 and to request
you to be so good as
to state whether it
would not be sufficient
if these provisions were
enforced in order to secure
the end in view.

(2) I am also to enquire
whether the Taluk Board
cannot with its existing
Sanitary Staff manage to
maintain the area in
a Sanitary condition, the
standards being

directing the introduction of Village Sanitation Rules in a number of certain villages containing 25 houses & upwards & villages with less number of houses in close proximity to Municipal towns,

List of enclosures

under its control

Copied by
Examined

G. R. S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

*(Continuation sheet draft form.)*

for the Sanitation of their Imam Village, and whether the Taluk Board is prepared to bear the cost of the proposed additional staff, if it is eventually decided to be necessary.

(3) The reply to the above may be kindly furnished within a week.

I have etc

17.6.20

17/6/20

for Rev Secy

P.P. L.B. 14-19-6

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

No. Dated BANGALORE, 30th June 1920.

D. No. 184
7.7.20.

From

S. Narayana Row, Esquire,
Assistant Commissioner in
charge of the Bangalore District.

To

B. Ramaswamaiya, Esquire, B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Revenue Department.

Sir;

With reference to your letter No.R.13884/L.B.126-19-5 dated 19th June 1920, regarding the adoption of special measures in respect of the sanitation of certain areas, close to the boundaries of the Civil and Military Station, Bangalore, I have the honour to state that to secure the end in view, it will suffice for the present if the provisions of the Village Sanitation Rules are introduced into the areas in question and steps taken to enforce them. Instructions have accordingly been issued to the President of the Bangalore Taluk Board to take necessary action forthwith.

2. About the necessity for an additional staff, proposed in this connection, it will be observed that the villages included in the area cover a large tract of land and have to be constantly visited in order that the sanitation Rules are properly enforced. In the midst of their other duties, the existing staff can hardly be expected

expected to devote adequate attention to this extra work. The Taluk Board have been asked to report if they are willing to bear the cost of the additional establishment and their opinion will be submitted to Government in due course. But it is ~~extremely~~ unlikely that the Board will agree to the proposal, as they have already been employing two full time qualified Sanitary Inspectors, one of whom is intended for the exclusive supervision of the area wherein the tanneries are located. The Taluk Board can only devote on this area the same attention and exercise the same control as they do over the rest of the Taluk. As it is mainly in the interests of the sanitation of the Civil and Military Station that the proposed special measures have to be adopted, it seems to me that it may not be fair to make the Taluk Board liable for the additional expenditure. It is a point for consideration as to whether under the circumstances of the case, the Civil and Military Station/Municipality should not be asked to pay for the extra establishment.

3. With regard to the sanitation of Inam villages, I beg to state that the provisions of the Local Boards and Village Panchayets Regulation cast this duty on Local Boards. To make the Inamdars responsible for this measure, would be to deny to the Local Boards the power of entry into Inam villages, which power they now possess under the Regulation. It will also give the Inamdars an opportunity to set up other vested rights and also to levy additional taxes on their tenants on the ground of sanitary measures carried on by them. I, therefore, consider that to ensure the proper observance of sanitary laws, the Local Board should have exclusive control over the Inam villages, the Inamdars being, however, made responsible to carry out the measures suggested by the Board as it is one of their primary duties under the Village Sanitation Rules.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
L. Narayanan
Assistant Commissioner in charge
BANGALORE DIST.

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

*Diary No. of letter replied to.*: 1864

Section LB
File No. 426/19
Serial No. 7/1

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT
( )
*the* 191

No. R. 1007

*Date of issue* 20.7.20

*Signed by*.. Dr. H. V. Perasara

To
The Deputy Chairman
President Dt Board
Bandarawela

Sir,

With reference to the Correspondence ending with your letter No. C 2091/27.6.20 dated the 30th June 1920 regarding the adoption of special measures in respect of the sanitation of certain

over.

areas close to the
boundaries of the
C.P. States &
am directed to
request that
the resolution in
the Blue Book from
refd to in para 2
on the subject
thereof may be
claimed & forwarded
at an early date

herewith.

I am
Sir
Your obedt Servt
[illegible]

*Copied by*

*Examined by*

WD 1744—GPB—3,000—19-3-19

Urgent

146-19-8 7856.

DIARY NO.

C 2091/19-20
27-11-8-1920
Encls 2.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.
Dated Bangalore, the 10th August 1920.

SECTION.
FILE NO.
SERIAL NO.

From

M. A. Narayana Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Deputy Commissioner & President, District Board,
Bangalore District.

Rev Secy
12 AUG 1920

To

13-8-20.

B. Ramaswamaiya, Esq., B.A., B.L.
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Revenue Department,
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.1007/L.B. dated the 20th July 1920, regarding the adoption of special measures in respect of the sanitation of certain areas close to the boundaries of the Civil and Military Station I have the honor to submit herewith a copy of the resolution of the Bangalore Taluk Board on the subject, from which it will be seen that the Board are in favour of getting the sanitation of the areas in question looked after by their present staff, but do not seem prepared to incur any extra expenditure that may be necessary.

2. The subject was also discussed by the Bangalore District Board at their meeting held on the 2nd instant, and the Board were of opinion that all the sanitary measures needed in the interest of the villages in the Taluk should be adopted immediately, providing for the necessary expenditure within the means of the District Board and that, where however these measures are solely in the interest of the Civil and Military Station and away from their own villages, the Civil and Military Station authorities should be requested to meet the expenditure incurred on the measures carried out for their benefit. A copy of the District Board's resolution is also enclosed.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

M. A. Narayana Iyengar

Deputy Commissioner, & President,
Dt. Board, Bangalore District.

From

The Deputy Commissioner
and President,
Bangalore City Board

To

The Secretary to Government,
Revenue Dept.

Dated 10th August 20

Despatched

Received

General No.

No.

Enclosure No. one

---

Submits the
resolution of the Bangalore
Extension Board and of the
Cantt. Board, re the
additional measures for
the sanitation of certain
areas close to the Cantonment
of the City.

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಕಚೇರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ
ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಬೋರ್ಡು ಮೆಂಬರುಗಳು ಸಭೆಯೇರಿ ನಡೆಸಿದ ಪ್ರೊಸೀಡಿಂಗ್ಸು. ತಾ. 26-7-1920 ರಲ್ಲಿ

x x x x x x

I. ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಕಂಟೋನ್ಮೆಂಟಿನ ಸುತ್ತಲೂ ಇರತಕ್ಕ 26 ಗ್ರಾಮಗಳ ಸ್ವಾಭಿವೀಕ್ಷಣೆಕ್ರಮವು ಹಿಂದಿನ ತಕ್ಕವಿ ಚಾರವಾಗಿ ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟ್ ಬೋರ್ಡ್ ಪ್ರೀಸಾ ಜೀಬರವರ ದ 2091/2-7-20 ನೇನಂ. ಮೆಮೊ ವನ್ನು ಓದಲಾಯ್ತು.

The majority is in favour of improving the present Sanitary Condition of the villages mentioned, without incurring additional expenditure.

x x x

Sdl- M. Hassan Ali
President
Taluk Board.

D/ 26-7-20.

Extract.

17-
For President
Bangalore Ob. Board

# Bangalore District

Proceedings of the first Ordinary meeting of the Bangalore District Board for the year 1920-21 held on Monday, the 2nd August 1920.

---

× × ×
× ×
× × ×

33. Read Bangalore Taluk Board President's No. 1 dated 31st July 1920 regarding the Sanitation of certain areas close to the boundaries of Civil and Military Station, Bangalore.

Resolution:-

Resolved that all the Sanitary measures needed in the interest of the Villages in the Taluk should be adopted immediately providing for the necessary expenditure within the means of the District Board. Where however these Sanitary measures are solely in the interest of the C & M Station and away from their own villages, the C & M. Station Authorities should be requested to meet the expenditure incurred on the measures carried out for their benefit.

× × Sd/ M. A. Narayana Iyengar
President.

"Extract"

11/8/20. for President. District Board.

4/1

PP 146-9-9

To

The First Assistant to the
Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore.

Pol 729

6th January 1921

Sir,

With reference to your letter, No.4033 dated the 5th May 1920, and in continuation of this office letter, No.Pol.1276 dated the 20th May 1920, regarding the question of the control over the lands in the Mysore State contiguous with the boundaries of the Civil and Military Station ~~and~~ not included within the city Municipal limits, I am directed to state that the area in question has been inspected by the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District who has also discussed the action required to be taken with the President of the Civil and Military Station Municipal Commission.

It is reported that the area consists of portions of 26 villages in the Bangalore - Taluk, 9 ~~#~~ which are kayamgutta villages and the rest Government ryotwari villages. The entire area is controlled by the provisions of the Mysore Local Boards and Village Panchayets Regulation No.VI of 1918 and Mysore Village Sanitation Regulation No.I of ~~1918~~ 1898 and the rules under them.

The administration of these regulations and rules vests in the Bangalore Taluk Board under the control of the Bangalore District Board and the local Revenue authorities. There is also a special sanitary staff employed in controlling ~~channels~~ the tanneries situated in that area which will be utilised for the ordinary - - -

sanitary arrangements as well. The Durbar are ~~accordingly~~ instructing the ~~Bangalore~~ Bangalore first Deputy Commissioner to take steps to constitute the several Village Panchayets for individually or in groups, and also to apply the provisions of the Village Sanitation Regulation to all these villages without delay. Steps will also be taken to issue the necessary rules and Bye-laws for the control of this area and copies thereof will be furnished for the information of the Hon'ble the Resident as soon as they are issued.

The Durbar understand that what the Hon'ble the Resident wished in respect of this area is the control within a belt of about 2 furlongs of the Civil and Military Station of the following items.-

(1) Building of houses

(2) ~~The~~ erection of brick kilns.

(3) Dumping of manure

(4) Utilisation of grounds for burial or Cremation ~~burning purposes~~ and

(5) Carrying on noxious trades.

Steps will be taken to provide in the Bye-laws and rules for controlling all the above items.

In conclusion I am to express regret at the delay that has occurred in replying to this reference which has been due to the need for consultation with the local self-governing authorities of the area at more than one ~~meeting~~.

I have &c.,

Chief Secretary to Government.

The Durbar trust that these arrangements will meet the requirements of the case.

Read 23.12.20

27/12

PP 1416-19-10

G.12908(1). 07 6/12/21

To

The Deputy Commissioner

Bangalore District.

Sir,

With reference to your letter, No.C.2091 of 19-20 L.F.11-8/19-20 dated the 10th August 1920, regarding the control of the area ~~contiguous~~ adjacent to ~~with~~ boundaries of the Civil and Military Station ~~and~~ not included within the Municipal limits, I am directed to forward, herewith, a copy of a letter addressed to the First Assistant to the Resident in the matter this day, and, to request you to take further action in the matter very early on the following points:--

(1) The Village Sanitary Regulation may be applied immediately to all the villages concerned;

(2) Village Panchayets may be constituted for all these villages individually or in groups, without delay;

(3) The rules which you consider necessary for this area may be proposed very early for and ~~which~~ promulgated under Sections 54(v) and 62 respectively of the Mysore Local Boards and Village Panchayet Regulation no FI of ~~passed in~~ 1918; ~~may be proposed very early~~

(4) The proposals for any staff required in addition to the special sanitary staff now working in this area may be submitted

(5) Bye-laws under Section 38 Clauses (f) and (k) of the Local Boards Regulation may also be submitted early.

Recd 23.12.20

I have &c.

Chief Secretary to Government.

Bom 22/12/20

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

*Diary No. of letter replied to*.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

*, the* *191* .

Date of issue 4/4/21.

Signed by .....

**Section**

**File No.**

**Serial No.**

**No.** G1852 ~~for~~

To

Dear Sir

I am desired to draw your ~~his~~ attention to this Office letter No 129087 6.1.21 re the Control of the lands situated Close to the boundaries of the Cmn Station Bangalore and to ~~request you~~ enquire ~~you~~ what action has been taken in the matter.

Yours Sincerely,

for Secy to Govt

over.

29.3.21

Mr A Narayana Iyengar Esq BA BL

D C Bangalore

23424

C 1005/20-21
Encl two.

CHIEF SECRETARIAT
II
POLITICAL BRANCH.
7 APR 1921

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District, PP 146-19
Dated, Bangalore, 6th April 1921. =10 11

Dear Sir,

I have received your D.O.No.18525/PP.dated the 4th instant regarding the control of the lands close to the Boundaries of Civil and Military Station. The President, Bangalore Taluk Board, was asked in this office No.C.1005 dated the 8th January 1921 to take immediate action on all the points mentioned by Government in their letter No.G.12908/PP.146-19 dated the 6th January 1921; and a copy of the report sent by him as to the action taken is herewith sent for information. As a doubt has arisen with regard to the conversion of Inam villages into Village Panchayats, I am addressing Government officially in the matter.

Yours sincerely,

To,

K.Matthan Esq., B.A.,
Chief Secretary to Government,
B A N G A L O R E.

Copy of report from the Assistant Commissioner's in charge of Bangalore Taluk and President, Taluk Board ~~Report~~.

---

With reference to Your memo No.C.1005/20-21 dated 8/11th January 1921 forwarding copy of Chief Secretary's letter No.G.12908(1)/P.P. 146-19 dated 6th January 1921 re the control of the area adjacent to boundries of the Civil and Military Station not included in the Municipal limits, I request that copy of the letter said to have been addressed to the First Assistant to the Hon'ble the Resident may kindly be sent to me for information.

Of the 26 villages bordering the limits of the Civil and Military Station the following 7 are Pecharak--

1. Binnamangala Manavartikaval.
2. Vyali Kaval.
3. Dod Bylakhane.
4. Malenahalli.
5. Annipura.
6. Arekempanahalli.
7. Tippasundra Manevarte Kava

There is no need therefore to introduce the village sanitation rul into these villages. Of the remining villages, the Village Sanitation Regulation has been applied to the following villages---

1. Byappanahalli.
2. Byappanahalli Manevarte Kaval
3. Kodihalli.
4. Challaghatta.
5. Belur Nagasundra.
6. Sinivagalu.
7. Ijipura.
8. Hadgodi,

The Sanitary Inspector in charge of the Tanneries has been directed to take steps to introduce the Village Sanitation Rules into the other villages as well by reading the rules, by tom tom etc.

As to constituting Village Panchayats, I beg to state that many of the villages are Inam as noted in the margin. It may therefore be advisable to keep them all a part and constitute Panchayat separately for each village. The right of the Government to constitute Panchayats in Inam villages as well as who is to control the administration and the funds of the Panchayat has also to be definitely settled once for all before I could submit proposals for constituting Village Panchyats. If, however, no distinction is to be made between Government and Inam villages as the Panchayat funds neither go to Government nor to the Inamdar, and if it is decided that

1. Jodi Kalagondahalli.
2. Devarajeebanahalli
3. Kacharakanahalli.
4. Lingarajapura
5. Byatagutta Palya.
6. Banasawadi.
7. Byappanahalli
8. Kodihalli.
9. Thippasundra.

that

that Government and Inam Villages can be clubbed together, I propose that 11 Panchayats may be formed for the marginally noted groups including the hamlets in the original villages. I shall place before the next meeting of the Taluk Board if any additional staff is necessary to enforce the Rules in these villages.

1. Kadakondanahalli with Tanneries.
2. Devarajeebanahalli with Tanneries and Byadarahalli.
3. Lingarajapura and Bataguttapalya.
4. Kacharakanahalli.
5. Banasavadi.
6. Byappanahalli and Byappanahalli Manavarte Kaval.
7. Tippasundra and Tippasundra Manevartekaval and Kodihalli.
8. Chellaghatta and Belur Nagasundra.
9. Srinivagalu and Ijipura.
10. Hadgodi and Koramangala.
11. Matadahalli and Bidarahalli.

The draft set of rules under Sections 54(v) and 62 and a set of Bye-laws under Section 38 Clause (f) and (h) of the Local Board and Village Panachayat Regulation are under preparation and will be submitted for the consideration of the District Board at their next meeting.

---

" C O P Y "

M A Narayanagowda

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

Mas

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

PP. 146-29

*Diary No. of letter replied to*

Section
File No. 1126
Serial No.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 191

No. R 118/6/29, 25-4-29

Date of issue 25.4.29

To The D.C. Batticaloa

Signed by

Sir

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No. C 1005/1021 dated 6th April 1929 re the Constitution of Village Panchayats in Tamil villages adjacent to the boundaries of the Batticaloa Station, I am

over.

directed to inform you that Special Sanction steps may be taken to Constitute them as such under Sec 48 of the L-B V.P. Regn without any Special Sanction of Govt. and accordingly to request you to be so good as to submit proposals regarding the strength of each V.P. which may be determined with reference to the population of each village, in accordance with the rules issued in this behalf.

I have etc

Sd

In Reference to Govt

Sd

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

Office of the President, District Board,

Bangalore District,

Dated, Bangalore, 6th April 1921.




From

M.A.Narayanayangar Esq., B.A., B.L.,

President, District Board,

Bangalore District.

To,

K.Matthan Esq., B.A.

Chief Secretary to the Government of His Highness

the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.

Sir,

Adverting to correspondence ending with your letter No.G.12908 PP 146-19 dated the 6th January 1921 re the control of the area adjacent to the boundaries of the Civil and Military Station not included within Municipal limits, I have the honor to state that out of the 26 villages bordering the limits of the Civil and Military Station, 9 villages are Inam. The right of Government to constitute Panchayats in Inam villages, as well as the authority to control the administration and the funds of these Panchayats has not been definitely settled. But the Sanitation of Inam villages is closely bound up with the Sanitation and welfare of the neighbouring Government villages and is of general importance to the State. I therefore recommend that the Government specifically sanction the constitution of Village Panchayat in Inam villages also placing the control of their administration in Taluk and District Boards as in the case of Government villages.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

M.A. Narayanayangar

President, District Board,
Bangalore District.

MAS.

6967 Jud R

13

From

The President
Bangalore District Board
Bangalore.

To

The Chief Secretary to
the Government of Mysore
Bangalore.

Dated 6th April 1921

Despatched

Received

General No.
No.

Enclosure No.

---

Recommends special Sanction for constituting Village Panchayats in Inam villages adjacent to the boundaries of the Civil & Military Station, placing the control of their administration in Taluk & District Boards

250-20

Pol 1610

R. O. 2.—R P B—4 1921—1,000.

No. 4034 ENC. P.P. 146-19-Etc
75-1920.

GEN & REV. SECTT.
27 APR 1921

POLITICAL BRANCH
27 APR 1921

FROM

MAJOR C. T. C. PLOWDEN, I. A.,
SECRETARY TO THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

*Dated Bangalore.* 27 April 1921.

SIR,

I am directed to invite a reference to your letter No. Pol.729, dated the 6th January 1921, regarding the question of control over the lands in the Mysore State contiguous with the boundaries of the Civil and Military Station of Bangalore not included within the City Municipal limits.

2. The President, Municipal Commission, Civil and Military Station, has expressed doubts regarding the practical efficacy of the measures outlined in your letter cited above. Village panchayats can scarcely be expected to appreciate the requirements of the Civil & Military Station in regard to sanitary measures within the Zone and it is doubtful whether such matters would be dealt with promptly and effectively by them. The practical problems which arise are:-

(1) who will inspect these areas to ensure that nuisances do not spring up.

(2) to whom can the President, Civil & Military Station Municipality, appeal direct, when cases (of urgency sometimes) come to his notice, and

(3) who will be responsible for suppressing nuisances

3. The President also emphasises the fact that

that the sanitary interests of the station are not confined to a zone of a quarter of a mile, but that it is within that zone that something approaching a prohibition of housebuilding etc. is wanted. The Station is certain, in time, to reach all its boundaries and the Station Municipal Authorities are naturally anxious that nothing shall spring up within that zone which would prejudice the interests of the Station. This need not mean that there should be no exception to the rule that buildings should not be erected within the zone. but that any exception should be granted only after the Civil & Military Station Municipal Authorities have had an opportunity of expressing their views on the case.

4. I am to suggest that a personal discussion of the matter would perhaps facilitate a settlement of the problems involved and to say that the Resident would be glad to discuss it with the Dewan on his return to Bangalore at a date which could be fixed later. The President of the Civil & Military Station Municipal Commission and any officer whom the Durbar may wish to be present could attend the meeting.

I have the honour to be.

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

SECETARY TO THE RESIDENT.

BJ

Urgent

D.O. No. 7008

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Recd. on AUG 9 1921
Reg. No. 873

THE RESIDENCY,
BANGALORE.
Dated 8th August 1921.

Subject:- Control over the lands in the Mysore State contiguous with the boundaries of the Civil and Military Station, but not included within the Government City Municipal limits.

Dear Mr. Matthan,

With reference to your demi-official letter No. 512/C.B., dated the 2nd August 1921, on the above subject, I am desired to inform you that the Resident has fixed 10 A.M. on Wednesday the 10th instant for discussing the matter. He hopes that the time will suit the Dewan.

The favour of an early reply is requested.

Yours sincerely,

K. Matthan, Esq., B.A.,
Offg. Chief Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

BJ.

No. 729/CB. 9.8.21.

Dear Major Plowden

Your D.O. letter No. 7008 of ~~this~~ to-day, The Dewan will not be in the station on the 10th instant. He will be obliged if the Resident will kindly fix a day after the 12th for the discussion.

Yours sincerely,

Sd by Mr. K. Matthan
9.8.21.

Major C.T.C. Plowden, I.A.,
Secretary to the Resident in Mysore.

URGENT.

D.O. No. 7086

DEWAN'S OFFICE,
Recd. on AUG 10 1921
Reg. No. 931

THE RESIDENT MYSORE

CB 467

THE RESIDENCY,
BANGALORE
Dated 10th August 1921

Subject:- Control over the lands in the Mysore State contiguous with the boundaries of the Civil & Military Station, but not included within the Government City Municipal limits.

Dear Mr. Matthan,

Your demi-official letter No.729/C.B. of yesterday. The Resident has fixed Monday the 15th August, 10 A.M. for the discussion. It is hoped that this date will suit. The favour of a very early reply is requested.

Yours sincerely

K. Matthan, Esq., B.A.,
Offg. Chief Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

BJ

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

*Diary No. of letter replied to*.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 191

Date of issue 11/8/24

Signed by Jas K. Mallam

**Section**

**File No.**

**Serial No.**

No. 763/CB

Dy 11-8-21

To The Secy to the Resident

Dear Sir,

~~I write~~ with ref to your D.O. No 7086 of the 10th inst I am desired to state that the date and hour ~~fixed~~ viz, Monday the 15th August at 10 A.M. fixed for the discussion of the subject referred to therein will suit the Dewan Peshkar's convenience.

Yours faithfully,

Chief Secy

The Dewan says that unless there is a written agreement he does not like making the payments. He would like the matter to be settled at the conference when the Resident would be present. Let him write to that effect and the Resident can then write to him.

Put up for orders

9/8

GEN & REV. SECTT.
13 AUG 1921

# Telephone Message.

R. of 194

RESIDENT'S OFFICE.

*Date* 13th August 1921

*No.*

*From* Secretary to the Resident in Mysore.

*To* The Chief Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

## Message.

Please refer to my D.O. letter 7086, August 10th regarding meeting at Residency to discuss question of control over lands in Mysore State contiguous with Civil and Military Station, Bangalore. I shall be glad to know today whether 10 A.M. on 15th suits the Dewan.

Ref your telephone message about meeting at the Residency on the 15th inst. Please refer to our D.O. letter No 763 of the 11th inst in which the 19th inst at 10 A.M. has been suggested

Ag Thanga. Please inform 2/30 pm Asst Sec

MR BJ 13/8

R. O. 207.—R. P. B.—2-1918.—1,200.—6 Books.

CB. 525

D.O.
DEMI-OFFICE

Recd. on AUG 15 1921
Reg. No. 1046

THE RESIDENT MYSORE

THE RESIDENCY,
BANGALORE.

Dated 15th August 1921.

Subject:- Boundary of the Civil and Military Station where it does not march with the City Municipal limits.

Dear Mr. Matthan,

Your demi-official letter No. 763/C.B., dated the 11th August 1921, on the above subject. 10 A.M. on Friday the 19th instant will suit the Resident for the proposed discussion.

Yours sincerely,

16/8/21

K. Matthan, Esq., B.A.,
Offg. Chief Secretary to the
Government of His Highness the
Maharaja of Mysore.

AKR

MSR
16/8/21

PP 146-19-19
CB. 599.

D.O. No. 750/ Secret

BANGALORE.

23rd August 1921

Subject :-- Boundary of the Civil and Military Station where it does not march with the City Municipal limits.

Dear Sir Kantaraj Urs,

In confirmation of the result achieved by the Conference held at the Residency, on the 19th August 1921, to discuss problems connected with the above subject, I am desired to forward a copy of a note prepared by the Resident.

Present:-
(1) The Hon'ble the Resident
(2) The Dewan of Mysore with the Chief Secretary and
(3) The Collector, Civil & Military Station, Bangalore.

2. I am to add that the Resident hopes to hear from you in due course what steps you have found it practicable to take to give effect to the deliberations.

Yours sincerely

To
Sir M. Kantaraj Urs, K.C.I.E. C.S.I.,
Dewan of Mysore.

Note.

If the Darbar could see their way to accepting the principle stated in paragraph 3 of Residency letter No. 4034 dated 27th.-- April 1921 and take practical measures to prohibit building within a quarter of a mile of the Civil & Military Station boundary (save in exceptional circumstances when the assent of the Civil & Military station authorities will be sought and full weight given to all reasonable objections urged by them) all our difficulties would be met.

The Dewan accepts the principle and trusts that it will be possible to take such practical measures as are indicated above.

--oOo--

"C O P Y"

REGISTRAR, RESIDENT'S OFFICE.

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

*Diary No. of letter replied to*.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 191..

Date of issue 14/11/22

Signed by M. A. R.

Section DO

File No. 146-19

Serial No 25.

No. 4339/DO dt 14/11/22

To The Deputy Comm., Bangalore

Sir,

In connection with the question of control over the lands situated close to the boundaries of the CCM Station, Bangalore and not included in the Bangalore City Mil. limits (vide ~~Your~~ ~~No~~ ~~dt~~ ~~dated~~ ~~by~~ ~~Bangalore~~ ~~City~~ ~~Mil~~ ~~limits~~), I am directed to request you to forward a plan showing ~~the~~ lands in the belt of 2 furlongs ~~from~~ the boundary

over.

Judl Dept.

**GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,**

**General and Revenue Secretariat,**

*Dated, Bangalore.....19*

*No.*

**From**

K. MATTHAN, Esq, B.A.,

*Offg. Chief Secretary to Government.*

**To**

B. Ramaswamaiya. Esqr., B.A., B.L.,

Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.

**Sir**

In connection with the question of control over the lands situated close to the boundaries of the C. & M. Station, Bangalore and not included in the Bangalore City Municipal limits. I am directed to request you to forward a plan showing the lands in the belt of 2 furlongs from the boundary of the C. & M. Station referred to in para 3 (3) of your letter No.H.C.935 of 19-20 dated Camp, Mysore 25th May 19 and their relation to the City and C. & M. Station Municipalities.

The

Office of the Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District
Dated, Bangalore, 25th January 1922

3066

From

B. Ramaswamaiya, Esquire., B.A., B.L.,

Deputy Commissioner,

Bangalore District.

To,

K. Matthan, Esquire., B.A.,

Chief Secretary to the Government of His Highness

the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.4339/P.P.146-19-25 dated 14th Janauary 1922, calling for a plan showing the lands in the belt of 2 furlongs from the boundary of the Civil and Military Station, I have the honor to state that a plan of the locality in question has not been prepared, nor is there any printed map which gives a clear idea of the locality. Arrangements are being made to make out a tracing of the lands from the Survey maps of the villages adjoining the boundary of the Civil and Military Station and situated within a belt of 2 furlongs from the latter, and a copy of the tracing will be submitted to Government in the course of about 2 weeks. The delay is due to the fact that some maps will have to be obtained from the Superintendent of Revenue Survey, to whom a reference has been made in the matter

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most obedient servant,

B. Ramaswamaiya

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

Subd.
Further communication will be awaited.
2/mm
C. 31/1

3141

19

From CB- 3016

The Deputy Commissioner,

Bangalore District.

To

The Chief Secretary to Govern-

ment of Mysore, Bangalore.

Dated 25th January 1923.

Despatched

Received

General No.

No.

Enclosure No.

Reports that the plan
of the locality within a
belt of 2 furlongs from
the Civil & Military Sta
Bangalore with the
boundaries

NO

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,

Bangalore District.

Dated Bangalore the 2nd February, 1922.

From

B. Ramaswamaiya Esquire, B.A., B.L.

puty Commissioner, Bangalore District

B A N G A L O R E.

To

K Matthan, Esquire. B.A.,

to the Government of His Highness

the Maharaja of Mysore,

B A N G A L O R E.

Sir,

In continuation of this Office letter No.C.1005/20-21 dated 25th January 1922, I have the honour to forward herewith a plan showing the lands in the belt of 2 furlongs from the boundary of the Civil & Military station, called for in your letter NO.4339/P.P. I.46-19-25 dated the 14th idem.

I have the honour to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant.

B Ramaswamaiya

Deputy Commissioner,

Bangalore District

K.V.

4896 / DD. 146-19-28 3rd February 1928.

Dear Mr. Ramaswamayya,

With reference to the plan of the lands within a belt of two furlongs from the boundary of the Civil and Military Station forwarded with your letter of the 2nd instant there are a few points which are not quite clear. I wonder whether you could instruct the District Surveyor who drew it to come and see me about it on Monday the 6th instant.

Yours sincerely,

B. Ramaswamayya, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.



P.P. 146-19-29
CB 3130

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District
Dated Bangalore, 4th February, 1922.

Dear Mr. Matthan,

As desired in your letter No.4896/P.P. of the 3rd instant, I have instructed the District Surveyor to wait on you in the office on Monday the 6th instant, in connection with the plan of the lands within a belt of two furlongs from the boundary of the Civil and Military Station.

Yours sincerely,

Ramaswamy

5357 /P.P.146-69-30

S 28/2/22

Dear Major Plowden,

With reference to correspondence ending with your D.O. letter No.7501 dated the 23rd August 1921. regarding the question of control over the lands in the Mysore State contiguous with the municipal boundaries of the Civil and Military Station of Bangalore not included within the City Municipal limits, I am desired to state that the Durbar have given their very careful consideration to the matter in the light of the discussions that took place at the Residency on the 19th August 1921. It is found that it is not possible to prohibit building within the area referred to under the existing laws and the Durbar feel that it would be inexpedient at the present time to initiate legislation with this object as it is likely to excite unfavourable comment.

I am in these circumstances to say that the Durbar will do everything possible under the existing law, on the lines indicated in Mr. Balasundaram Iyer's letter No.Pol.729 dated the 6th January 1921 and to express the hope that this will be found in practice sufficient for the end in view.

If this is not considered sufficient the Durbar are prepared as an alternative to examine the question whether the whole area referred to, viz., a belt of 2 furlongs round the Civil and Military Station Municipality could be included in the limits of the Bangalore City Municipality

P.P. 146-24-33.
E 4510

D.O. No. 4684

THE RESIDENCY,
1st June 1922 BANGALORE.

Subject:- Control over the lands in the Mysore State contiguous with the boundaries of the Civil & Military Station of Bangalore and not included within the City Municipal limits.

Dear Mr. Matthan

I am desired to refer to the correspondence ending with your demi-official letter No.5357/18, dated the 28th February 1922, on the above subject. The President Municipal Commission, Civil and Military Station, who was addressed in the matter, has intimated that the Commission are still of the opinion that the provisions of the existing law for the administration of the area in question are insufficient for the reasons explained in my letter No.4034, dated the 27th April 1921. In the circumstances the Commission ask that the Durbar will be so good as to adopt the alternative mentioned in yo demi-official letter No.5357/P.P., dated the 28th February 1922, namely, that the Durbar should examine the question whether the whole area referred to, viz. a belt of 2 furlongs round the Civil & Military Station Municipality, could be included in the limits of the Bangalore City Municipality and placed under its jurisdiction. The Municipal Commission also ask that, if this solution of the question is adopted, they may be consulted before any new buildings are erected within this zone.

2. In communicating the foregoing views of the Municipal Commission of the Civil & Military Station I am to express the hope that it may be possible for the Durbar to give effect to them.

Yours sincerely
Austen 1/6/22

for Major Plowden.

K. Matthan, Esq., B.A.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

BJ
AYR

7585 PP

the 23rd June 2

Dear Mr. Anandarao Sirsi,

I am writing to you officiallyto-day with reference to a proposal to bring within the jurisdiction of the Bangalore City Municipal Council a belt of two furlongs round the Civil and Military Station limit. There are some aspects of the question which I should like to discuss with you in person if you could call over some day.

Yours sincerely.

Anandarao Sirsi, Esq., B.A., LL.B.,
President, City Municipal Council, Bangalore.

KM/CLG

8 2416/12

P.D.No.699-36.

To

The President.

City Municipal Council

Bangalore.

Sir

I am directed to state that on a reference from the Residency on the question of the control over the lands ~~of the State territory~~ contiguous to the boundary of the Civil and Military Station ~~outside~~ but within the Bangalore City Municipal limits in respect of building houses, erection of brick kilns and sanitation of the area generally, the Residency was informed that the area is subject to the provisions of the Mysore Local Boards and Village Panchayet and Sanitary regulations and that steps would be taken to consitute Village Panchayets in the villages constituting the area and frame necessary rules and bye-laws to ensure effective control over the items referred to above.

2. The ~~Residency considered these measures inadequate and observed~~ authorities have intimated that the difficulties arising out of the present situation would be sufficiently met if within the belt of two furlongs from Civil and Military Station boundary something approaching prohibition of house building ~~can be~~ has been given effect to After careful consideration

consideration of the matter the views of Government were communicated to the Residency that it was not possible to prohibit the buildings within the area referred to and that everything possible under the existing law would be done.

3. It was further suggested that these were considered insufficient by the Government were prepared to or alternative to examine the question whether the whole area referred to viz., a belt of two furlongs round the Civil and Military station Municipality could be included in the limits of the Bangalore City Municipality and placed under its jurisdiction.

4. It has been brought to the notice of the Government that the Municipal Commission of the Civil and Military Station are still of opinion that the provisions of existing law for the administration of the area in question are insufficient to meet the requirements of the case and, therefore urge upon the adoption of the alternative suggested above with a request that such a solution of the question is effected they may be consulted before any new buildings are erected in the area.

I am to request that you will favour Government with the views of the Municipal Council in the matter.

I have etc.,

Chief Secy. to Government.

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

*Diary No. of letter replied to*

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

*, the* *191.*

Date of issue 12/8/22

Signed by M. A. V. R

**Section**

**File No.**

**Serial No.**

No. 740 PP & 17/8/22.

To

The Treasurer
City Municipal Council,
Bangalore.

Sir

I am directed to invite your attention to this office letter No. 7607/PP 146-9-31 of the 24th June 1922 and to request that the views of the Municipal Council called for therein with regard to the question of the control over the lands contiguous to the

over.

boundary of the C & M Station
but not included in the
Bangalore City Municipal
limits may be communica-
ted at a very early date.

I have &c.

For Chief Secy.

C.S.R. Nim
7/18.

*List of enclosures.*

*Copied by*

*Examined by*

No. 29

2248/22

OFFICE OF THE CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL,

*Bangalore City, dated* 19th August 1922.

R 21

CR 55T

*From*

ANANDA RAO SIRSI, ESQ., B.A., LL.B.,
*President, Municipal Council,*
BANGALORE CITY.

*To*

K.Matthan, Esq., B.A.,
Chief Secretary to the Government
of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
B A N G A L O R E.

S i r,

With reference to your reminder No.740/P.P.146-19 of 12-8-1922 calling for the views of the Municipal Council regarding the question of control over the lands contiguous to the boundary of the Civil & Military Station but not included in the Bangalore City, I have the honor to inform you that the question comes up for consideration at the meeting of the Council to be held next month.

Await next meeting of Municipal Council. Watch Daily Sheet & report.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

President.

D.N.R.

17642

OFFICE OF THE CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL,

No. C. No. 38.

*Bangalore City, dated* 13th September 1922.
14.

C/S 936

*From*

ANANDA RAO SIRSI, ESQ., B.A., LL.B.,
*President, Municipal Council,*
BANGALORE CITY.

GEN'L & REV. SEC'T
14 SEP 1922

*To*

T.E. Jeyarama Iyer, Esq., B.A., B.L.
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue Department, Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.7607/P.P.146-19-34 dated 24th June 1922 calling for the views of the Municipal Council in regard to the inclusion in the Municipal limits of a belt of two furlongs of land contiguous to the boundary of the Civil & Military Station, I have the honor to state that the question which was before the Council at the Meeting held on the 2nd September 1922 has been deferred for further consideration. The resolution of the Council will be communicated after the question is decided.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

President.

D.N.R.

P.P. 146 - 4
CB 1087

THE RESIDENCY,
BANGALORE.

D.O. No. 7746 Conf

Dated 5th October 1922

Subject:- Control over lands in the Mysore State contiguous with the boundaries of the Civil and Military Station of Bangalore and not included within the City Municipal limits.

Matthan

I am desired to invite a reference to the correspondence ending with my demi-official letter No.4684, dated the 1st June 1922, and to enquire when a reply may be expected in the matter. In this connection I am to enclose a copy of a letter No. R.C.M. 52/21, dated the 23rd September 1922, from the President Municipal Commission, Civil & Military Station, Bangalore.

Yours sincerely
Austin
for Major Plowden

K. Matthan, Esq., B.A.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore.

BJ

Letter No. R.C.Gt.52/21, dated the 23rd September 1922, from the President, Municipal Commission, Civil & Military Station, Bangalore, to the Secretary to the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore, Bangalore.

---:0:---

CONTROL OF BUILDINGS JUST BEYOND THE CIVIL & MILITARY STATION BORDER.

I have the honour to invite a reference to the correspondence ending with this office letter No. Gt.52/21 dated the 16th May 1922, on the above subject, and to request you will be so good as to let me know when orders may be expected in the matter.

2. I may mention that a case has arisen in which one Basappa, owner of premises No.360 (census number) situated at the west of Tannery road, just beyond the Station boundary, has been erecting mud walls at the ends of the front verandah of his premises, and also proposes to erect another house on the vacant land adjoining his property. As the Commission cannot exercise any control in such matters in the absence of a definite ruling, and such control is desirable in this case. I request orders as to whether I may send up this case through you to the Mysore Government for necessary action.

---:0:---

"C O P Y"

BJ

WB. REGISTRAR RESIDENT'S OFFICE.

G. R. S. V1—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

*Diary No. of letter replied to*.....

Municipal

Section

File No.

Serial No

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

No. 18/2/P8
& 8/10/22

, *the* 191

Date of issue 8/10

Signed by [illegible]

To

The President of
Municipal Council
Bangalore

Dear Sir,

I am desired to
invite your attention
to the correspondence
ending with your letter
No 28 of the 14th Instant
regarding the question
of inclusion of a belt of
two furlongs of land

over.

20074

OFFICE OF THE CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL,

No. 2712/58

*Bangalore City, dated* 18th October 1922

Enclosure 2

P.P.146-C9-35

C.S. 1226

*From*

ANANDA RAO SIRSI, ESQ., B.A., LL.B.,
*President, Municipal Council,*
BANGALORE CITY.

21 OCT 1922

PP 23/10/22

*To*

The Chief Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.7607/P.P.146-19-34 dated 24th June regarding the control over the lands contiguous to the boundary of the Civil and Military Station, not included in the City Municipal limits, I have the honor to state as follows:--

2. The belt referred to, though only two furlongs, will amount to a considerable area, if included in the City Municipal limits. A sketch describing the land referred to and the approaches is submitted herewith. In the present condition of the Municipal finances and the obligations of the Municipality in regard to the existing area under its control and the various costly schemes now under partial operation, the inclusion of the additional area referred to, will impose on the Council an amount of expenditure and a burden of supervision out of all proportion to the scanty receipts which may be expected to be realised by the imposition of Municipal rates. The portions to be added have no population worth the name, but still all the facilities of Municipal administration such as water taps, roads, drains, lights will have to be provided to the new tract if required even by a small number of people.

From

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No. _____
No.

Enclosure No.

3. The subject was considered in all its aspects by the Council at their meeting held on the 14th October and they have passed the resolution that "the Council are not agreeable to take over the belt of land referred to under their jurisdiction." A copy of the proceedings is enclosed.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

President,
City Municipal Council,
Bangalore.

T.R.C

No.9.

PROCEEDINGS OF AN ORDINARY GENERAL MEETING OF THE CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL, Bangalore, HELD IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBER, at 8.A.M. ON SATURDAY THE 14TH OF OCTOBER 1922.

-----:oOo:-----

P R E S E N T.

1. Ananda Rao Sirsi, Esq., B.A., LL.B., (President)
2. E. Abdur Rahmon, Esq., B.A., (Vice President).

COUNCILLORS.

1. Mr. B. Chinnaswami Chetty
2. " Myakala Mallayya
3. " G. Siddaveerappa
4. " B.V. Ramaswamy Chetty, B.A.,
5. " M. Subbayya, B.A.,
6. " R. Gopalaswami Iyer
7. " S. Venkatachala Iyengar, B.A., B.L.,
8. " H. Krishnappa, B.A.,
9. Mr. H.V. Chandrasekhariaya, B.A. LLB
10. " M. Raghavendra Rao
11. " P. Subbarama Chetty,,
12. " J.R. Isaac, B.A., M.B.E.,
13. " P. Murugesam Pillai
14. " S. Venkataranga Iyengar,
15. " C. Hayavadana Rao, B.A., B.L.,
16. Rev. W.H. Thorp, B.A.,

A B S E N T.

1. Mr. C.V. Narasimha Iyengar, B.A., B.L.,
2. " W.H. Hanumanthappa
3. " B.V. Krishna Iyengar
4. " B.K. Garudachar
5. " M. Ramachandra Rao Scindia
6. " D. Adeppa
7. Mr. S. Venkataramma Iyer, B.A.,
8. " M. Abbas Khan
9. " J.E. Narasinga Rao
10. " V.S. Tiruvengadaswami Mudaliar
11. " P.M. Basappa
12. Rao Sahib Mr. H. Chennayia.

-------:O:--------

Subject No.2

Chief Secretary's Letter No.7607/P.P.146-19-34 dated 24th June 1922, calling for the views of the Council in regard to the inclusion in the City Municipal limits of a belt of two furlongs of land contiguous to the boundary of the Civil and Military Station but not included in the City Municipal limits and placing it under the jurisdiction of the City.

RESOLUTION:-- The Council are not agreeable to take over the belt of land referred to, under their jurisdiction.
15 for and one against.

Sd/. Ananda Rao Sirsi,
President.

"C O P Y"

E. A. Rahmon
for President,
City Municipal Council,
Bangalore.

D.N.R.

Pol

D.O. No. 611 Fair Draft

PP 146-29-36.

General and Revenue Secretariat,

Bangalore, 8th January 1923.

Kehill

Dear Mr. Williamson,

Please refer to correspondence ending with Major Plowden's D.O. letter No. 7746 dated 5th October 1922 in the matter of the control over lands in the Mysore State contiguous with the boundaries of the Civil and Military Station, Bangalore, and not included within the City Municipal limits. As requested in Major Plowden's D.O. letter No. 4684 dated 1st June 1922, the Bangalore City Municipal Council was ~~requested~~ asked to examine the question whether the area referred to could be included within the limits of the Bangalore City Municipality and placed under its jurisdiction. The Municipal Council has expressed itself as not agreeable to take over the belt of the land referred to under its jurisdiction.

His Highness' Government have again given their careful consideration to this question and find that there is no provision of law empowering them to compel the Bangalore City Municipal Council to include this land within its jurisdiction. They find also that on other grounds as well, the proposal to include the area in question within the City Municipal limits is

To Secretary

The ~~First Assistant~~ to the

Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore

Dear Sir,

Please refer to correspondence ending with Major Plowden's D.O.letter No.7746 dated 5th October 1922 in the matter of the control over lands in the Mysore State contiguous with the boundaries of the Civil and Military Station, Bangalore and not included within the city Municipal limits. As requested in Major Plowden's ~~xxxxx~~ D.O.letter No.4684 dated 1st June 1922, the Bangalore City Municipal Council was requested to examine the question whether the area referred to could be included within the limits of the Bangalore City Municipality and placed under its jurisdiction. The Municipal Council have expressed themselves as not agreeable to take over the belt of the land referred to under ~~their~~ its jurisdiction.

His Highness' Government have again given their careful consideration to this question and find that there is no provision of law empowering them to compel the Bangalore City Municipal Council to include this land within ~~their~~ its jurisdiction. They find also that on ~~every~~ other grounds as well the proposal to include the area in question within the city Municipal limits is not feasible. The Mysore Government has already pointed

out in my D.O.letter No.3337 dated 28th February 1922 that it is not possible under the existing laws to prohibit building within the area referred to. His Highness' Government still feel that it would be inexpedient to undertake legislation with a view to secure power to prohibit buildings in this area, as such a measure is likely to excite unfavourable comment.

In these circumstances I am to repeat the assurance given in my letter of the 28th February 1922 ~~and to say that~~ His Highness' Government will do everything possible under the existing law to control the area referred to on the lines indicated in Major Plowden's letter No.729 dated 6th January 1921.

His Highness' Government hope that the above will show clearly that every avenue has been explored and all that has been possible, ~~short of legislation,~~ has been done to remedy the inconvenience reported in Major Plowden's letter No.4033 dated 8th May 1920. The local officers will be instructed to enforce the existing laws rigorously and strictly in this area, ~~as the result of future experience and~~

In conclusion, I am to say that if future experience should show that the arrangements now ordered are not sufficient and if a fresh solution of an unobjectionable nature suggests itself, His Highness' Govt. will gladly give effect to it.

I have &c.

Chief Secretary.

G. R. S. G/33]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

To

G. 184

3/7/23.

The Dy Comr Bangalore

Sir

I am directed to invite your attention to the correspondence ending with the Office letter No R 11816 dated the 28th April 1921, regarding the control of the area adjacent to the boundaries of the Civil station not included within the municipal limits and to request you to kindly state what action has been taken on the points referred to in this Office letter No G 12908 dated the 6th January 1921.

I have &c

for Chief Secy

2.

WD 2230—GPB—1,00,000—23-7-20

Gm 245

H612 of 1923

923.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District,

Dated Bangalore, the 7th July 1923.

From

M. P. Viswanatha Rao, Esq.,
Assistant Commissioner,
In District Charge, Bangalore Dist.

To G.M.
11 JUL 1923

K. Matthan, Esq., B.A.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
BANGALORE.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.G.184/P.P. of the 3rd instant, inviting attention to your letter No.R.11816 of the 25th April 1921, re: the control of the area adjacent to boundaries of the Civil and Military Station, I have the honor to state that as the original reference was being dealt with in the District Board Office, the President has this day been requested to state what action has been taken on the points referred to in your letter No.G.12908 dated 6th January 1921, and in what state the matter stands. On receipt of his reply, a further communication will be submitted.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant.

Assistant Commissioner,
In charge Bangalore District.

Submd for perusal
Further communication
from the D.C. may be awaited
Dy 2/
11.7.1923

From
The Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To
The Chief Secretary to the
Govt of Mysore, Bangalore

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.
No.

Enclosure No.

---

States that the original reference was being dealt with in the District Board and that a further reply will be sent on receipt of information from the Private Secy to Board, Bangalore.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,

Bangalore District,

Dated Bangalore, 11th August 1923.

No. H.C.12/23-24

From

B. Venkoba Rao, Esq., B.A.,

Deputy Commissioner,

Bangalore District.

To

K. Matthan, Esq., B.A.,

Chief Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.G.2752/P.P.146-19 dated 7th August 1923 inviting attention to your letter No.G.184 dated 3rd July 1923 re the control of the area adjacent to boundaries of the Civil and Military Station, I have the honor to state that in continuation of my letter No.H.C.12 of 1923-24 dated 10th July 1923. the President of the District Board writes that the report of the Taluk Board President is awaited. He has this day been requested to expedite the matter.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

7283 Jan 1936

From The Deputy Commissioner Bangalore District

To The Chief Secretary to the Govt of Mysore, Bangalore.

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.

No.

Enclosure No.

---

States that the information re: the control of the area adjacent to boundaries of the civil and Military Station will be submitted on receipt of particulars from the President, Bangalore Talu[illegible]

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

*Diary No. of letter replied to*.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 191

Date of issue 5/9/23

Signed by W. K. Matthan

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No. G. 4291

Dear Sir,

I write to invite reference to correspondence ending with your letter no HO 12/23-24 dt 11th Aug 1923 wherein it is stated that the report of the Taluk Board President is awaited with regard to the action to be taken by the Govt of the area adjacent to the boundaries of the Estates. I am desired to request you not to treat this as a routine matter. I hope that the question might

over.

to request that the

may kindly refer the subject matter immediately for the Govt. being as urgent as it is awaiting a report from the Taluk Board President

~~perhaps~~ to discussed in person with by you
the Secretary of the HTK Bds ~~with~~
~~a view to arrive at a speedy~~
~~settlement.~~ ~~A timely reply may kindly~~
~~be sent~~ without further delay.

Yrs Sincerely

Chief Secy

To
B. Venkoba Rao Esq BA
Dy Comr, Bangalore

*List of enclosures.*

*Copied by*

*Examined by*

246 12/12-24
12-9-23
Circle

Deputy Commissioner's Office,
Bangalore, 12th September 1923
P.P. 146-19-3.7

Dear Sir,

P.P.

With reference to your D.O. letter No.G.4291/P.P. dated 5th instant re the control of the area adjacent to the boundaries of the Civil and Military Station, Bangalore, I beg to state that the question was discussed by me with the Presidents of District and Taluk Boards on 7th instant and to submit a copy of the notes of discussion for the information of Government. Both of them have been informed that the subject should receive their urgent personal attention and should be brought to disposal with the least possible delay.

Yours Sincerely,

To

K. Matthan, Esq., B.A.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Proceedings of the discussion held on 7th September 1923 in the District office, regarding the control of the area adjacent to the boundaries of the Civil and Military Station, Bangalore.

---

Messrs B.Abdul Rahiman, President, Bangalore District Board, and A.J.Donne, President, Bangalore Taluk Board, were present by appointment. Mr.C.Noronha, Assistant Commissioner in charge of Bangalore Taluk joined the meeting at a later stage. The contents of the D.O.letter from the Chief Secretary dated 5th September 1923 were made known to them. At the outset it was mentioned that nothing practicable was done by the Taluk Board since May 1921 inspite of reminders by the District Board. After a full discussion it was finally resolved as follows:-

1. Application of Village Sanitary Rules to all the 26~~th~~ Villages bordering Civil and Military Station.

Out of the 26 villages, 7 were becharak and the rules had already been introduced into 8 villages(vide, Bangalore Taluk Board President's letter No.C.995/20-21 dated 14th February 1921). Both the Amildar and the Sub-Division Officer were requested to take immediate steps to introduce Sanitary Rules to the remaining 11 villages and report the fact to the President, District Board, and Deputy Commissioner, immediately after the rules were introduced.

Item (2)

II. Byelaws under Sections 38(b) and 38(h) of Local Boards' and Village Panchayat Regulation for controlling -

(1) Building of houses,
(2) Erection of brick kilns,
(3) Dumping of manure,
(4) Utilization of grounds for burial or cremation
and (5) Carrying on noxious trades.

The President, Bangalore District Board, be requested to take immediate steps to have the necessary byelaws prepared and published in the manner prescribed in section 38(b)

of the Local Boards and Village Panchayat Regulation, all possible delay being avoided. To limit the controlling area to a belt of two furlongs would be difficult in actual practice. Therefore it is desirable to extend the provisions of bye-laws to be framed to the entire villages, so that no body could -- escape the provisions of law.

III. Formation of Village Panchayats both in Inam and Government villages.

2)

Item (1) in our letter to Rep. 56.1.21

Hitherto no effective steps were taken to form Village Panchayats. The President, Bangalore Taluk Board, in consultation with the President, District Board, should submit proposals for the formation of Panchayats by convenient groupings, fixing the -- strength in each case.

IV. Rules for promulgation under Sections 54 V and 62 of L.B. M.P.Regulation.

These may not be necessary for the present, and may be taken up, if necessary after the Village Panchayats are put into working order and the Byelaws under sections 38(b) and 38(h) are put into practice.

V. Additional staff required in addition to the Special -- Sanitary Staff now working

Proposals would be submitted if found necessary.

B Venkoba Rao

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

*Diary No. of letter replied to* .....

Section P6
File No. 146-09
Serial No. 42

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

*, the* 192 .

No. G. 5350

Date of issue 27/9/23

Signed by Mr. K. Matthan

To

Dear Sir,

With reference to your letter No H.O 12/23-24 of the 12th Sept 1923 forwarding a copy of the Note of discussion regarding the control of the area adjacent to the boundaries of the Cantonment, Bangalore, I am desired to request you to see that the matters referred to therein are brought to a head soon.

A report of progress in regard to items I, II & III mentioned in the Note to this office may kindly be sent within a fortnight

all necessary action is taken without any further delay.

Submit with a file reminder

Dy 13.10.23.

P.T.O.

WD 0632 - GPB—18000—16-8 28

and Hereg a dozen copies of the by laws proposed ~~to be issued~~ under Secs 38(b) & 38(h) of the Local Bds under P. Regn for constituting the area in question, as ~~after~~ the same finally issued may also be sent to this office for transmission to the Resrs.

Yrs sincerely

Cf 25/9/23 Illegible
25/9/23

B. Venkoba Rao Esq BA
Dy Coml. Bangalore dist.

*List of enclosures.*

*Copied by*

*Examined by*

Conf. D 2
17.10.23.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,

D.O.No.H.C.12/23-24 Bangalore District,

Dated Bangalore, 13th October 1923.

P.P.146-19-43

Dear Sir,

I am in receipt of your D.O.letter No.G.5350/P.P.146-19 dated 27th ultimo ~~instant~~ re the control of the area adjacent to the boundaries of the C.and M Station. Bangalore. The weekly progress reports called for from the President, District Board, have not been received as yet, and I am reminding him again to forward the same without further delay. The Sub Division Officer Bangalore has also been reminded to report as to what action he has taken to introduce Village Sanitation Rules to 11 more villages.

In the meanwhile I have requested the President, District Board, to place the Subject of framing of byelaws under Section 38 (f) and 38 (h) of the Loacal Board and Village Panchayet Regulation for controlling the are in question, before the next District Board Meeting and that a Select Committee of the Members of the Board should promptly attend to the preparation of the bye-laws the publication of which to be taken into consideration by the Board at the subsequent meeting.

Immediately on receipt of the replies from the President, District Board and Sub Division Officer Bangalore, I shall keep Government informed of the progress made from time to time.

Yours sincerely,

B Ramakrishna Rao

To

K.Matthan Esquire, B.A.,

Chief Secretary to the Govt, of Mysore,

B A N G A L O R E.

Subd with reference.

The further reports promised will be awaited till next week by the end of this month, another reminder will be put up.

20/10/23

Subd with the draft of a d.o. reminder.

24/10/23

Issued on 26/10/23.

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

*Diary No. of letter replied to* .....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

*, the* 192 .

Date of issue 16/6/23

Signed by K-V-A

Section P.P.

File No. 146-19

Serial No.

No. G 6184

To

Dear Sir,

I am desired to invite your attention to Mr Matthan's D.O. letter no G 5350 dt the 24th Sept. 1923 re the control of the area adjacent to the boundaries of the Cantonment and to request you to be so good as to forward the report (A proper sketch) referred to therein. Copies of bye laws proposed under the D.B. & V.P. Regn. may also be sent, if any have issued

Yours sincerely

[illegible]

For the Secretary to Govt.

To The Dy Commr Bangalore Dist

B. Venkoba Rao Esq B.A.

Dy Commr, Bangalore Dist

WD 0632 - GPB—1800—16-6-23

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

*Diary No. of letter replied to* .....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

*, the* 192 .

Date of issue 1/11/23

Signed by Mr. K. V. A.

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No. G 6777

To

Dear Sir,

With reference to your d.o. letter No HC/2/23-24 dated the 13th Oct 1923 re the control of the area adjacent to the boundaries of the Cantonment, Bangalore, I am desired to enquire if the proper reports called for from the President, Bangalore Dist Bd, have been received and if not, to kindly obtain and submit them to Govt without delay. I am also to enquire if village Sanitation Rules have been introduced in the remaining

WD 0632 - GPB—1800—16-8 28

villages and to request you to
report what progress has been made
in regard to the framing the necessary
bye laws under the Local Bds
and V.S. Regs for controlling
the area in question. - The inclosure
for Inquiry is by mistake.

To
The Secretary
to the Veterinary Surgeon
Bye Laws, Local Bds

3612/23-24 Gen 2778 P.P. 146-19-11

Deputy Commissioner's Office,

Bangalore, 1st November 1923.

Dear Sir,

Adverting to correspondence ending with your D.O. letter No.G.6184/P.P. dated 16th October 1923, calling for the progress report regarding the control of the area adjacent to the boundaries of the Civil and Military Station, Bangalore, I write to inform you that Mr.Matthan's D.O. letter No.G.5350 dated 27th September 1923 was replied to on 15th October 1923 mentioning briefly the action so far taken in the matter.

Not a single progress report has been received from the President, Bangalore District Board, though he was reminded about this in my D.O. reminder No.Hc.12 of 1923-24 dated 15th October 1923. The subject of framing Byelaws etc., under section 38(f) and 38(h) was included in the business to be transacted at the District Board meeting held at Kankanhalli on 6th October 1923, but it is not understood why the subject was not discussed. I have already requested the President, District Board, that the subject should receive the earliest consideration of the Board.

The framing and publication of byelaws by the District Board naturally takes some time, because the District Board must formally approve of the byelaws that the select committee that may be appointed for the purpose, may prepare, and then objections invited from the persons likely to be affected thereby and agai considered by the Board at a subsequent meeting. It is only aft these formalities are observed, that the bye-laws as finally approved of by the District Board, should be sent up to Govern ment for sanction. The President, District Board, will howeve be requested to avoid all possible delay in the matter.

The formation of Village Panchayats in the 26 villages is a very important subject, and no proposals have hitherto been

been received from the President, District Board, who will again be reminded to expedite the proposals.

With regard to the introduction of Village Sanitary rules to eleven more villages, the Amildar, Bangalore Taluk, in his Tilla No.L.F.150 dated 27th October 1928, reports that a further supply of copies of Sanitary Rules was obtained on 26th October 1928 from the Government Book Depot, and the Sanitary Inspector had been directed to have the rules introduced into those villages. The Amildar has been directed to obtain weekly progress reports from the Sanitary Inspector and submit the same to this office. The Government will also be kept informed of the progress from time to time.

Yours Sincerely,

B Sankatta Rao

To

K.V. Ananthanaman, Esq., B.A.,

Under Secretary to Government,

General and Revenue Secretariat,

Bangalore.

PP.146-19-45 Fin 2775

Ef612/23-24
and pe

Deputy Commissioner's Office,
Bangalore, 2nd November 1923.

Dear Sir,

I acknowledge the receipt of your D.O.letter No.G.6777/P.P. 146-19 dated 1st instant, re the control of the area adjacent to the boundaries of the Civil and Military Station, Bangalore. I believe you have received my D.O.No.H.C.12 of 1923-24 dated 1st instant -- which shows the action taken so far in the matter. Since writing the above, the District Board President's letter No.C.1005 of 1920-21 dated 30/31st October 1923 has been received and a copy of it is herein enclosed for your information.

The progress made from time to time will be reported to Government.

Yours Sincerely,

B. Venkobarao

To

K.V. Anantaraman, Esq., B.A.,
Under Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
General and Revenue Secretariat,
Bangalore.

Letter No.C.1005 of 1920-21 dated Bangalore, 30th/31st October 1923, from the President, District Board, Bangalore, to the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.

---

With reference to your No.H.C.12 of 1923-24 dated 12th September 1923, re the control of the area adjacent to the boundaries of the Civil and Military Station, I have the honor to state that as regards the framing of bye-laws under secs.38 (f) and 38 (h) of the Local Boards and village Panchayats Regulation, the matter had been placed before the District Board meeting held at Kankanhalli Town on the 6th October 1923, but it was not taken up for want of time.

As regards the formation of Village Panchayats both in the Inam and Government villages, the President of the Taluk Board has been requested to send up proposals with necessary statistics.

As regards the introduction of Village Sanitation Rules into the villages, the matter is being dealt with in your office.

---

"C O P Y"

B Sivaswamy Rao

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

15989

3164
G.M

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District,
Dated Bangalore, 12th November 1923.

No. H 6 12 1 3-4

From B. Venkoba Rao, Esq., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

P.P. 146-19-47

To M. Matthan, Esq., B.A.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to the last para of my D.O.letter No.H.C.12 of 1923-24 dated 1st November 1923, I have the honor to state that the Amildar, Bangalore Taluk, reports that Village Sanitation Rules have been introduced into 5 villages out of eleven villages bordering on the Civil and Military Station, and that steps have been taken to introduce the same into the remaining six villages.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

For Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

*Diary No. of letter replied to* .....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

*, the* 192 .

Date of issue 3/12/23

Signed by Mr. C.V.K.

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No. 4 9075

To The D.C. Bangalore [illegible]

Sir,

With reference to correspondence, ending with your letter no. [illegible] C.12/23-24 dt. 12.11.1923 regarding the control of the area adjacent to the boundaries of the Cantonment Station, Bangalore, I am directed to enquire what progress has been made in regard to the framing of bye laws and the formation of village Panchayats and whether the Sanitation Rules have been introduced in to the remaining Sist villages. You are requested to report what progress has been made in this matter.

The favour of an early reply is requested.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

for Chief Secretary.

1/12/23

WD 0632—GPB—1800—16-8-28

19248. Fin 3650.

H 12/23-24

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

Dated Camp Bidadi, 6th December 1923.
Camp Harohalli, 7th December 1923.

P.P. 1466-19-48

From

B. Venkoba Rao, Esq., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.

To

K. Matthan, Esq., B.A.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.

CIVIL & REV. SECTT.
Br.
12. DEC. 1923
G. D. SECTION

Sir,

In continuation of this office No.H.C.12/23-24 dated 13th November 1923 re the control of the area adjacent to the Civil and Military Station, Bangalore, I have the honour to state that the Amildar, Bangalore, reports that village sanitation rules have been introduced to all the villages and the President, Bangalore District Board has placed the services of the Tannery Inspector at his disposal to get through the work of introduction of Village Sanitation Rules and collection of statistics for the formation of Village Panchayats. In the meanwhile, the Amildar felt a doubt whether Byadarahalli (one of the 26 villages bordering the Civil and Military Station) was included in the Bangalore Taluk limits or Civil and Military Station area and the Superintendent, Revenue Survey Department has been written to in the matter and his reply is awaited.

Sanitary?

I may also state here that the District Board meets on the 8th instant at Anekal and the subject of framing byelaws etc. is included in the agenda.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

B. Venkoba Rao
Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore Dt.

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

*Diary No. of letter replied to* .....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

*, the* 192 .

Date of issue 4/1/24

Mr. C. S. K .....

Section

File No. Reminder

Serial No.

No. G 10340

To

The D. C. Bangalore. Bl

Sir,

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No He 12/23-24 dt 4th December 1923 re the control of the area adjacent to the civil and Military Station Bangalore I am directed to enquire what progress has been made in regard to the framing of bye-laws and the formation of village panchayats

over.

WD 0632 - GPB—18000—16-8 28

I have etc.

4/1/24 for Secy

Bulk 3/1

For copies to Govt

22546

3833-3

Office of the Deputy Commissioner.
Bangalore District.

No.H.C.12/23-24.

Dated Camp Ghati, Dodballapur Taluk, 12th Jan 1924.

From

B. Venkoba Rao, Esq., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.




To

Nagappa, Esq., Bar-at-law,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Local and Legislative
Departments.

Adverting to your letter No.R.11816/L.B.126-19-9 dated 25th April 1921 re the formation of Village Panchayats ofcertain villages bordering C.& M.Station, Bangalore, I have the honour to submit herewith for favour of approval of Government a copy of letter with its enclosure containing the proposal received from the President, Bangalore District Board for the formation of 8 Village Panchayats in the said area, the strength of the Panchayats fixed as per notification No.941/L.B.6-18-1 dated 13th July 1918.

Proposals for the formation of Village Panchayats ~~fxx~~ in the remaining Villages in the said area will be submitted on receipt from the President, District Board, who has been requested to expedite the proposals.

The subject of the framing of byelaws under Sections 38(b) and (h) of the Local Board and Village Panchayat Regulation has been referred to the Committee of the District Board and their proposals will be placed before the District Board at their next meeting, and a copy of the draft byelaws as framed by them will be submitted to Government for information.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
Sd.B.Venkoba Rao.
Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.

P.T.O.

Gen 45-25

Z 4229-7 24800

GENERAL & REVENUE SECRETARIAT. 29 JAN. 1924 LOCAL SELF GOVT. BRANCH

No.H.C.12/23-24.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

Dated Camp Channapatna, 26th Jan.1924.
Bangalore, 28th January 1924.

P.D. 146-19-52

From

B. Venkoba Rao, Esq., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.

GEN. & REV. SECT. Br. 29 JAN. 1924 G. D. SECTION

B.Nagappa, Esq., Bar-at-Law,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Local & Legislative
Departments.

Sir,

In continuation of this office letter No.H.C.12 of 23-24 dated 12th January 1924, I have the honour to submit herewith proposals for the formation of 2 more Village Panchayats as noted in the margin in the area bordering C.& M.Station, Bangalore, and to request that you will be so good as to obtain the approval of Government thereto. The strength of each of the Panchayats may be fixed in accordance with Government Notification No.941/L.S.6-18-1 dated 13th July 1918.

1. Lingarajpura.
2. Byappanahalli.

Proposals for the formation of Village Panchayats in the remaining villages will be submitted to Government on receipt from the President, District Board who has been requested to expedite the proposals.

2. I have also written to the President, District Board, whether the Finance Committee have met and any byelaws have been framed by them under Section 38 (f) and (h) of the Local Board and Village Panchayats Regulation. On hearing from him I shall report the facts to Government.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Sd.B.Venkoba Rao.

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

No.H.C.12/23-24.

Copy of the above is forwarded with compliments to the

~~Deputy~~ Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore in continuation of this office No.H.C.12 of 23-24 dated 12th January 1924.

B Sambasiva Rao

Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore Dt.

Subd for perusal.

Further report & progress will be awaited.

HS
30-1-24

HS
30/1

G. R. S. VI--G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

*Diary No. of letter replied to* .....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

*, the* *192*

Date of issue 27/2/24

Signed by Asst. C.S.K.

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No. G.1214

To

~~The D.C. Bhore~~ BV

Sir,

~~With reference to~~

your memos nos 14 C/12-2

23-24 ~~dated~~ dt 12-1-1924 be

12-1-1924 and 26-1-1924

~~respectively~~ re the

boundaries of the C and M

Station Bhore, I am

directed to enquire what

further progress has been

made in regard to the framing

of Rose-Laws and the forma-

tion of Village Panchayats

*over.*

I have etc.

27/2

For Asst Secy to Govt

Sd/- illegible

for

24.2.1924

Issued

27/2

Issued no. dt 24.2.24

W D 0632 - GPB--1800C--16-8 23

Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

General and Revenue Secretariat,

No. [illegible] Bangalore, dated 29.2.24

Notification.

Under section 48 of the Mysore Local Boards and Village Panchayat Regulation VI of 1913, the Government of His Highness the Maharaja are pleased to direct that Village Panchayats be constituted for the undermentioned villages in the Bangalore Taluk in the Bangalore District which are adjacent to the Cantonment of the U. S. M. Station, Bangalore, with effect from 1st March 1924 and to fix under section 49 of the said Regulation, the strength of each Village Panchayat and the number of electoral members thereof as shown in the subjoined Statement.

| Sl. No. | Name of Village. | Strength of the Village Panchayat. | The number of members to be elected under Sub-section (1) of section 49 of the said Regulation. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. |
| 1. | Begur including Kempapura. | | |
| 2. | Challaghatta including Kalyanhalli Pandra. | | |
| 3. | Bommanahalli with its hamlets of i. Kalkonahalli. ii. Gultanapalya. iii. Chamasandra and also Hatcha nahalli. | | |
| 4. | Kachoreshan halli with its hamlets of i. Kariyappanapalya. ii. Kaltapalya. iii. Kammanahalli. | | |
| 5. | Koramangala including Agrahara. | | |

[illegible]

C. Ranga Rao

[illegible]

To

The Compiler, Mysore Gazette, for publication.

Wires 29/2

Advt. for Provisional

The receipt of a report from the D.C. Bangalore will be awaited.

29/2

29/2

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

No. L 6979 / Vol. 10-V-16 Dated, Bangalore, 4/3/24.

NOTIFICATION.

Under Section 48 of the Mysore Local Boards and Village Panchayets Regulation VI of 1918, the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore are pleased to direct that Village Panchayets be constituted for the undermentioned villages in the Nanmalere Taluk, which are bordering the C. & M. Station, Bangalore, with effect from 1st March 1924 and to fix under Sec. 48 of the said Regulation the strength of each of the Panchayets and the number of elected members thereof shown in the subjoined statement:-

| Serial No. | Name of Village. | Strength of the Village Panchayet. | Number of members to be elected out of that shown in column 3. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 1. | Lingarajapura | 7 | 5 |
| 2. | Byappanahalli | 7 | 5 |

BY ORDER,

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
LOCAL AND LEGISLATIVE DEPARTMENT.

To

The Compiler, Mysore Gazette for publication.

Sent to G.P.O. Branch

Sd. S. Sankarasubba Rao
for Secretary to Government
Local & Legislative Department

Dy. Secretary to Government
Local & Legislative Department

Yes only
6/3

J.R.D/C.

23656

Fin 5106

No.....

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District,
Dated Bangalore, 6th March 1924.

P.P 146-19-54

From

B. Venkoba Rao, Esq., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

To

T. Matthan, Esq., B.A.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.

GENL. & REV. SECTT.
Br. G.M.
6 - MAR. 1924
G. D. SECTION

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.G.12214/P.P. dated 27th February 1924 re the control of the area near Civil and Military Station, Bangalore, I have the honor to state that the President, Bangalore District Board, states in his letter No.C.1005 of 1920/21 dated 29th February 1924 that the Finance committee on 8th February 1924 have resolved that the draft byelaws be generally approved and that Mr.S.Srikantiah, one of the members be requested to go through them and to circulate the revised draft among other members. It is reported that the draft byelaws have accordingly been sent to that gentleman.

2. I am requesting the President, District Board, to send me a copy of the draft byelaws as finally approved of by the finance committee, and to send me a copy place the same before the District Board at their next meeting which has been provisionally fixed for 29th March 1924.

3. Proposals for the formation of village Panchayats in the remaining villages will be submitted on receipt to Secretary to the Government of Mysore, Local and Legislative Departments and a copy sent to you for information.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

B. Venkoba Rao
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

Subd for perusal
15.3.24

Seen. Await further report.
6/3

Gu 5687

31624

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District,

No....

Dated Bangalore, 2nd April 1924.

P 146-19-55.

From

B. Venkoba Rao, Esq., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

To

B. Nagappa, Esq., Barat-law.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Local and Legislative Depts.,
Bangalore.

Sir,

Adverting to correspondence ending with your Memo No.L.6980 dated 4th March 1924, sanctioning the formation of village Panchayats in the area adjacent to the Civil and Military Station, Bangalore, I have the honor to submit herewith for favour of sanction of Government, proposals for the formation of 4 more Village Panchayats in the said area together with a copy of letter No.C.1004 of 1920-21 dated 22nd/24th March 1924 from the President, Bangalore District Board.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Sd/- B. Venkoba Rao,

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

---

Copy of the above with a copy of District Board President's letter is forwarded with compliments to the Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore for information. A copy of the byelaws as approved of by the Finance Committee will be submitted in due course.

B. Venkoba Rao

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

Sd/- for perusal.
Further report will be awaited

Letter No.C.1005 of 1920-21 dated Bangalore, 22/24th March 1924, from the President, District Board, Bangalore, to the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.

---

In continuation of this office No.C.1005/20-21 dated 26th January 1924, I have thehonor to forward herewith a proposal for forming 4 villages which are adjacent to the boundaries of the Civil and Military Station, into village Panchayats and to state that out of 26 villages proposals for constitution of 13 villages into Village Panchayats have already been sent. Of which the constitution of 8 villages into Village Panchayats have been received in this office sanctioned by Government. Of the remaining 13 villages, 8 are Becharac, one viz., Byadarahalli is belonging to C & M Station and the present proposal is for the remaining 4 villages. Thus it will be seen that the matter of constitution of village panchayats has been completed.

As regards the framing of Bye-laws, the draft Bye-laws prepared in the office had been placed at the Finance Committee meeting held on the 8th February 1924 as resolved by the District Board, when it was resolved that the said draft bye-laws might be sent to Mr.S.Sreekantaiya, one of the member of the Finance Committee for revision, if necessary, and that the revised one circulated to other members. The said bye-laws have been received revised from the said member and it will be circulated among other members.

---

"C O P Y"

B. Sambasiva Rao

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

---

1858
L.B.10-2358

Bangalore, dated the 24th April 1924.

Notification.

Under Section 48 of the Mysore Local Boards and Village Panchayats Regulation, VI of 1918, Government of His Highness the Maharaja are pleased to direct that Village Panchayats be constituted for the undermentioned four villages in the area adjacent to the Civil and Military Station, Bangalore, in the Bangalore Taluk with effect from 1st May 1924 and to fix under Section 49 of the said Regulation, the strength of each Panchayat and the number of elected members thereof as shown in the subjoined statement:-

| Sl. No. | Name of villages. | Strength of the Village Panchayat. | No. of members to be elected out of that shown in Column 3. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 1. | Devarigoevandahalli | 9 | 6 |
| 2. | Kalagovandahalli. | 9 | 6 |
| 3. | Kodihally. | 7 | 5 |
| 4. | Thippasandra. | 5 | 3 |

By Order,

Secretary to Government,
Local and Legislative Department.

Cpys

To

The Compiler, Mysore Gazette, for Publication.

Copy to G. K. Branch.

Pl. issue Proceedings

Pl. issue Notification

Issued No. R.765 2291/24 24/4/24

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

*(Continuation sheet draft form.)*

G768 & 29.7.24

To

The Hy. Comr. Bangalore

Sir,

I am directed to invite your attention to the correspondence ending with your Memo No. H.C. 12/23-24 of the 22nd April 1924 regarding the control of the area adjacent to the Civil Station, and to request you to kindly state what further progress has been made in the matter.

I have etc.

29/7

for Chief Secy.

Confdl. 26163 Gen 2669

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District,
Dated Bangalore, 4th December 1924.

No. H.C. 12 / 23.24

P P 146-19-5C

From

B. Venkoba Rao, Esq., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

To

K. Matthan, Esq., B.A.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.

In continuation of this office No.H.C.12 of 1923-24 dated 4th April 1924 submitting a progress report re the control of the area adjacent to Civil and Military Station, Bangalore, I have the honor to state that the President, Bangalore District Board, has been requested to intimate what progress has been made with regard to the framing of the necessary byelaws and when the byelaws may be expected by Government for sanction.

Proposals have not been received from the Amildar-President, Bangalore Taluk Board, re the elections to be held in the several Panchayats. I have requested the President, Bangalore District Board, to obtain a progress report from the Amildar-President, Bangalore Taluk Board, and forward the same early.

On receipt of a reply from the President, Bangalore District Board, I shall submit a further report to Government.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

B. Venkoba Rao

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

26641

Gu 27 62

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District,
Dated Bangalore, 10th December 1924.

No. H.C. 12 / 23-24

P.P. 146-19-54

From

B. Venkoba Rao, Esq., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

To

K. Matthan, Esq., B.A.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.

Sir,

In continuation of this office letter No.H.C.12 of 1923-24 dated 6th December 1924 re the steps taken to control the area adjacent to the Civil and Military Station, Bangalore, I have the honor to state that the Amildar, Bangalore Taluk, has since submitted proposals for holding elections on 26th January 1925 and 27th January 1925 in the two Panchayats of Sinivagal and Chellaghatta respectively, and I have sanctioned his proposals. The Amildar has also been directed to expedite the submission of proposals for holding elections in the remaining -- Panchayats. On receipt of his proposals, I shall submit a further report to Government.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

B. Venkoba Rao

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

28751

No. HC 12/23-24 Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Enclosure-one
Bangalore District,
Bangalore, dated, 22nd December 1924.

From

B. Venkoba Rao, Esqr., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

9 DEC.

To

K. Matthan, Esqr., B.A.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
B a n g a l o r e.

Sir,

In continuation of this office No.H.C.12/23-24 dated 10th December 1924 re: the steps taken to control the area adjacent to the Civil and Military Station, Bangalore, I have the honour to submit herewith a copy of letter No.C.1005/20-21 dated 12th December 1924 from the President, Bangalore District Board, stating that the draft byelaws [redacted] question are pending with the Finance Committee and that they have been requested to dispose of the matter early. I am informing the President, Bangalore District, that prompt action is necessary to control the said area, and that more speedy action may be taken in the matter.

The Amildar-President, Bangalore Taluk Board will be told again that proposals should be expedited to hold elections in the remaining Panchayats, and all the Panchayats should be brought under working order early.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

for Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

The file on the subject (Dr. 2762) is before the Dewan (Submd. on 20/12) This may await return of the file or may be submd. in continuation
7/1/25

Letter No.C.1005/20-21 dated 11/12th December 1924 from the President, District Board, Bangalore, to the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.

---

With reference to your letter No.H.C. 12 dated 5th December 1924, I have the honour to state that the draft bye-laws in question are pending with the Finance Committee, who have been requested to dispose the matter early.

As regards the proposals re: the elections in several Panchayets, the proposals received from the Amildar of Bangalore have been forwarded to your office with this office No.1005/20021 dated 6th December 1924.

---

"C o p y"

Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

*Diary No. of letter replied to*.....

Section PP

File No. 146-19

Serial No. 59

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 191 .

No. G 5537

Date of issue 24/1/25

Signed by Mr. M.V.J.

To The Deputy Commissioner

Bangalore Dr.

Sir,

With reference to Correspondence ending with your letter No: St. C. 12/23-24 dt 10th Dec. 1924 re the steps taken to control the area adjacent to the Civil & Military Station, Bangalore, I am directed to state that the progress in the Constitution of village panchayats and

*over.*

in the framing of Bye-laws
under Local Boards and Village
Panchayet Regulations, is
not satisfactory, and that ~~steps should be~~
Steps should be taken to have
~~these matters should be~~ completed
early and the ~~fact~~ report to Govt.
~~Completed / and the fact~~
~~reported to Govt as soon as possible.~~

I have &c.

~~for~~ Chief Secy to Govt

20/1/26

*List of enclosures.*

*Copied by*

*Examined by*

Gm/1502

15940

In all future correspondence please quote
No. C. 2-H.C. 12/23-24.
Encl. One.

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
BANGALORE DISTRICT.

*Dated Bangalore, the* 9th October 1925.

P.P.146-19-60

FROM

B. VENKOBA RAO, ESQ., B.A.,
*Deputy Commissioner,*
*Bangalore District.*

P.G.D'Souza, Esqr., B.A., B.L.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
B a n g a l o r e.

Adverting to correspondence ending with your letter No.G.5537/P.P.146-19-59 dated the 24th January 1925 re: the steps taken to control the area adjacent to Civil and Military Station, Bangalore, I have the honour to submit herewith copy of letter No.C.1005/20-21 dated 15th September 1925 from the President, Bangalore District Board, stating that the District Board at their meeting held on 29th and 30th August 1925 approved of the resolution of the Finance Committee viz., that the Sanitary Rules in force at present meet present requirements and that the question of framing fresh Rules be deferred till the reforms pending regarding Local Boards and Village Panchayat Rules are framed.

As regards the constitution of Panchayats, I beg to state that out of the 11 Panchayats formed, members have been elected and appointed only in the Panchayats of Egipura and Chellaghatta. The Amildar of Bangalore has now submitted the results of election held in the Panchayats of Byappanahalli, and Kodihalli. Proposals for nomination in these two panchayats have been submitted to the Secretary to Government, Local and Legislative Departments for kindly obtaining sanction of Government thereto. On obtaining sanction of Government, a notification will be issued constituting the Panchayats for a period of three years.

The Amildar, Bangalore Taluk has been directed to submit atonce proposals for holding elections in the remaining 7 panchayats and necessary action will be taken without delay to have them constituted.

P.T.O.

Constituted.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore Dist.

Letter No.C.1005/20-21 dated 15th September 1925 from the President, Bangalore District Board, Bangalore, to the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.

---

With reference to your H.C.No.12, dated 27th August 1925, I have the honour to state that the Finance Committee at their meeting held on the 11th July 1925 resolved that as the Civil and Military Station Collector informs that there are no Sanitary Rules or Bye Laws framed for the villages in that limit, and as the Sanitary Rules in force at present meet present requirements, the question of framing fresh rules be deferred till the reforms pending re: Local Boards and Village Panchayets Rules are framed.

This resolution was placed at the District Board meeting held on the 29th and 30th August 1925 when the Board resolved that the resolution of the Finance Committee be adopted.

Mr. B. Oosman Khan dissented.

---

"C o p y"

B Venkata Rao

Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore Dist.

G. R. S. G/33]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

G 6/29.3 23.2.26

P.P. 146-19-X

To

The Hy. Comr.

Bangalore

Sir,

I am directed to invite attention to the correspondence ending with your letter No C.2.H.C.12/23-24 of the 19th Octr 1925, regarding the steps taken to control the area adjacent to the Civil Station, Bangalore, and to request you to kindly state the Municipal Limits of Bangalore

for the Secy

Vol 4

WD 2230—GPB—1,00,000—23-7-20

37728

Gm 4804

In all future correspondence please quote No. C.2-2741-25.

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
BANGALORE DISTRICT.

*Dated Bangalore, the* 9th March 1926

P.P. 146-19-6f

FROM

B. VENKOBA RAO, ESQ., B.A.,
*Deputy Commissioner,*
*Bangalore District.*

GENL. & REV. SECTT. Bg. 5 Mr. To 11 MAR. 1926 G. D. SECTION

To

F. D'Souza, Esqr., B.A., B.L.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Bangalore.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.G.6129/P.P.146-19 dated the 23rd February 1926 calling for a report in the matter of steps taken to control the area adjacent to the Civil and Military Station, I have the honour to state that as already reported in my letter No.C.2-H.C. 12/23-24 dated the 9th October 1925 the District Board have deferred the question of framing the necessary byelaws till the new District Board and Village Panchayat bills are passed.

Regarding the formation of Village Panchayats, I beg to state that Government have sanctioned from time to time the constitution of 11 Panchayats for the said area. Members have been elected and nominated in 4 Panchayats, and proposals for nomination of members to the Tippasandra Panchayat have been submitted to the Secretary to Government, Local and Legislative Departments for obtaining the kind sanction of Government. On receipt of their sanction, the list of elected and nominated members will be notified. The difficulty experienced by the Amildar, Bangalore Taluk in the preparation of list of voters in the Inam villages was brought to the kind notice of Government in my letter No.C.2-H.C.12/23-24 dated 4th November 1925. The Amildar will again be directed not to wait for replies from the Inamdars for the preparation of lists of voters, but to proceed on the best available data for holding elections in the remaining village Panchayats. The dates of election will be fixed on receipt of proposals from the Amildar who has been asked to expedite the matter.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

B. Venkoba Rao

Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.

Rd for financial. Further report may be awaited. Y. 11/3 Please see Sec. note 12/3

54043

G 1 6518

C.2-2741/25.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District,
Dated, Bangalore, 24th June 1926.

PP. 196-19-62

From

B.Venkoba Rao, Esq., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

P.G.D'Souza, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Chief Secretary to Government of Mysore,
B A N G A L O R E.

With reference to your reminder No.G.9757 dated 27th May 1926 re: the steps taken to control the area adjacent to the Civil and Military Station, Bangalore, I have the honour to invite kind reference to this office letter No.C.2-2741/25 dated 10th March 26 wherein a detailed report has been submitted to Government detailing the action so far taken. Eleven Village Panchayats have been formed in the special area, and 5 Panchayats have already been constituted. The Amildar of Bangalore has been directed to submit proposals for holding elections in the remaining 6 Panchayats; and these will be sanctioned on receipt.

The President, District Board, Bangalore will be requested to bring the Panchayats already constituted into working order.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

For Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

APP. F. VI. Gl.]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section P.P.

File No. 146-19

Serial No. 63

*Diary No. of letter replied to*.....

No. G 298.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

*the* *192*

To

The D.y Commr. Bangalore

Date of issue 12/7/26

Signed by Mr. M.V.J

Sir,

With reference to corresponding with your letter No. C-2-2741/25 dt 24.6.26 re steps taken to control the area adjacent to the Rly stn, I am directed to enquire where you propose to form the ~~rest~~ Six remaining ~~of the~~ panchayats referred to

I have &c.

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

for Ch. Secy

Examined by .....

7-7-26

MSK

over

8m 408
P.P. 146-19-64

THE MYSORE RESIDENCY,

BANGALORE.

D.O.No. 6126 Secs

Dated 16th July 1926.

CONTROL OF BUILDINGS ON THE BORDERS
OF THE CIVIL AND MILITARY STATION OF BANGALORE.

Dear Mr. D'Souza,

Please refer to the correspondence ending with Mr. Matthan's demi-official letter No.Pol.611, dated the 8th January 1923.

The matter has again been brought to the notice of the Resident by the President, Municipal Commission, Civil and Military Station, Bangalore, and from the enclosed copies of the correspondence received from him, it will be apparent that an early solution of the problem is desirable.

| |
|---|
| Demi-official No.E.E.O.57/26, dated the 23rd April 1926, from the President, Municipal Commission, Civil & Military Station, Bangalore, with enclosures (in original; return requested). |
| Demi-official No.R.575, dated the 7th July 1926, from the President, Municipal Commission, Civil & Military Station, Bangalore with enclosure (in original, return requested). |

I am to request that the Resident may be favoured with the views of the Mysore Government as to the action they propose to take, or have taken in the light of the assurance conveyed in the concluding paragraph of Mr. Matthan's demi-official letter referred to above.

2. The favour of an early reply is requested.

Yours sincerely,

W. Gordon

P. G. D'Souza, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Demi-official letter No. E.E.O.57/26, dated the 23rd April 1926, from H. Dawson, Esq., President, Municipal Commission, Civil and Military Station, Bangalore, to Major K. A. G. Evans Gordon, Secretary to the Resident in Mysore, Bangalore.

---:O:---

Control of buildings on the borders of the Civil and Military Station, Bangalore.

---

Please refer to the correspondence ending with Mr. Austin's demi-official No. Gt.4, dated the 17th September 1923, to Mr. Cater on the above subject, and let me know if anything has been done in the matter.

2. From a perusal of the enclosed copy of a report dated the 16th April 1926 from the Sub Engineer of this Municipality, and the 16" to the mile map attached thereto, it will be seen that some buildings are in the course of progress and some others are proposed to be built to the north of the Civil & Military Station boundary across Tannery road. The Sub Engineer reports that none of the plans have been sanctioned either by the Deputy Commissioner or the President of the City Municipality, but that the Jodidar of Jeevanhally village has given permission to the different people to construct buildings on their respective plots.

Return requested.

3. Mr. Murphy (the Executive Engineer) reports that the ground slopes from the buildings under construction towards the station limits, so that all sullage and storm water from that area will drain into Station limits which will be most objectionable. In the circumstances, I request that the Hon'ble the Resident will be pleased to take early steps to safeguard the interests of the station in the matter. In this connection, I invite a reference to this office letters Nos.Gt.52/21 dated the 16th May 1922 and Gt.52/21, dated the 23rd September 1922.

---:O:---

"C O P Y"

SUB ENGINEER'S REPORT.

- :O: -

Executive Engineer,

Herewith 16" map of the Civil and Military Station, Bangalore showing the buildings in course of progress and others proposed to the north of the boundary across Tannery road.

So far as I could make out there are three persons who are making preparations to build here in the near future.

One Mr. Chinnappa of Blackpally has levelled the plot A.B.C.D. and has laid foundations and in some parts completed the plinth also. He is going to build 7 blocks here, in one of which (marked green) the compound wall and the out houses are already completed.

One Mr. Nagoji Rao is levelling the plot parallel to Tannery road. This is marked E.F.G.H. on map. This man I understand is going to construct 5 shops opening on to Tannery road.

Mr. Rathan Singh of Fraser Town also owns a large plot of ground (marked x) to the West of Mr. Chinnappa's land. He has levelled the ground in some places and has told me that he is getting plans prepared to build a line of houses according to our Knoxpet type. The block to the south marked J.K.L.M. has been constructed about 2 years ago.

None of these plans have been sanctioned either by the Deputy Commissioner or President of the City Municipality, but it seems that the Jodidar of Jeevanhally has given permission to these different people to construct houses on their respective plots.

Sd. J. W. Edwin,
16-4-26.

---:O:---

"C O P Y"

Demi-official letter No.R.575, dated the 7th July 1926, from T. B. Russell, Esq., I.C.S., President, Municipal Commission, Civil and Military Station, Bangalore, to Major K. A. G. Evans-Gordon, Secretary to the Resident in Mysore, Bangalore.

---:0:---

Control of buildings on the borders of the Civil & Military Station, Bangalore.

With reference to the correspondence ending with Dawson's demi-official letter No.E.E.O.57/26 dated the 23rd April 1926 on the above subject and our inspection on 26th June last of the buildings and lands on the borders of the station on both sides of Tannery Road, I enclose herewith a section of the 16" to the mile map of Bangalore with the buildings already constructed outlined in red and hatched and those under construction only outlined in red. The lay-out of a small settlement as actually demarcated on the ground has also been marked in red lines on the map. One building has already been constructed at the north-west corner of the settlement as shown on map and fairly deep excavation have been made, as you saw, in the ground to the south of the settlement from which earth has been used for making and burning the bricks stacked to the west of it. As you saw during inspection building operations are going on fairly rapidly.

The fundamental objections to building in this area have been clearly established in Tasker's official letter No.1082 dated the 26th March 1920. The principle has been accepted by the Mysore Darbar who, while intimating their inability to take power to prohibit building absolutely, have promised in paragraphs 4 & 5 of Mr. Balasundram Iyer's letter Pol.729 of the 6th January 1921 and the concluding paragraph of Mr. Matthan's demi-official Pol.611 of 8th January 1923 to provide for controlling the building of houses and certain other items. Several buildings have been recently erected and there are indications of a settlement springing up x x x x x

I consider that the buildings now springing up are a grave-menace

to

In all future correspondence please quote No. C-2-2741-25

03363

GH 538

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
BANGALORE DISTRICT.

*Dated Bangalore, the* 16th July *1926.*

FROM REV. SECT. 19. JUL. 1926 TO D. SECTION.

B. VENKOBA RAO, ESQ., B.A.,
*Deputy Commissioner,*
*Bangalore District.*

To

R. G. D'Souza, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.G.298/P.P.146-19-63 dated the 12th July 1926 re the formation of 6 more Panchayats in the area near the Civil and Military Station, I have the honor to state that proposals for holding elections there have not yet been received from the Amildar, Bangalore Taluk. He has again been reminded to submit the same without further delay.

I shall submit a further report to Government after the dates for holding elections in the said 6 Panchayats are fixed.

I have the honor to be,
Sir
Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

G. 581/4 Ends 12 maps.
pp 146-19-65

D 20/7/1926

To

The Deputy Commissioner

Bangalore District.

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith copies of the marginally noted correspondence (together with plan in original to be returned) received through the Residency complaining about the erection of certain buildings to the north of the C and M Station boundary across -- Tannery Road and to request you to be so good as to have an inspection of the site made immediately and to take steps to -- stop further construction if ~~the~~ building at the site mentioned is unauthorised. If however ~~the~~ building is permissible under the rules and cannot be stopped -- action may be taken to have the grounds so drained if possible, that the ssullage does not flow into the Civil and Military Station limits. A very early report as to the facts and the action taken by you in the matter may be sent

I have etc.,

Chief Secretary to Govt.

* Demi-official No. E.E.O.57/26 dated the 23rd April 1926 from the President, Municipal Commission, Civil and Military Station Bangalore, with enclosures (in original return requested)

Demi Official No.R.575 dated the 7th July 1926 from the President Municipal Commission C. and M Station, Bangalore with enclosure (in original, return requested)

19.7.26

0725

No. B5-275/26-27 Office of the Deputy Commissioner,

Encl. Nos. 5 Bangalore District

Dated, Bangalore, the 8th August 1926.

P.P. 146-1926

From

B. Venkoba Rao, Esqr., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

P.G. D'Souza, Esqr., B.A., B.L.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.G.581/P.P.146-19-65 dated the 20th July 1926 calling for the submission of a report regarding the erection of certain buildings to the north of the Civil and Military Station Boundary across Tannery Road, I have the honour to submit herewith a copy of the report No.C.1-168 of 1926-27 dated the 28th July 1926 received from the Sub Division Officer, Bangalore Sub Division, wherein the situation as it ~~now~~ now stands is explained. It will be seen that no action is possible as the land is situated in an Inam village. Government Order No.R.7116-26/L.R.217-12-2 dated 18th February 1915 lays down that the Deputy Commissioner cannot interfere to prevent the appropriation of agricultural land for non-agricultural purposes in an Inam or Kayamgutta village, though the Government Order reviewing the Inam Commission Report holds a contrary view. The only course left would be to take further action on the lines suggested by the Sub Division Officer with a view to prevent the building of houses in Jeevanhalli on agricultural lands at the instance of Jodidars.

2. For the present a mutchalika has been obtained from the parties to the effect that further progress would be stopped till orders are received from the Government which they trust, will be issued within three months. The same is herewith submitted for kind perusal of the Government. As directed by the Government, the alternative course of having the grounds drained of will be

Letter No.C.1-168 of 1926-27 dated 28th July 1926 from the Sub Division Officer, Bangalore Sub Division, to the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.

---

I have the honour to state that I inspected the buildings in course of progress and others proposed in Jodi Jevanhalli village this morning with Sub Engineer Mr. Edwin, Civil and Military Station representative; Messrs. Rathan Singh, Chinnappa and Nagoji Rao. The three latter persons being those who are making preparations to build on this agricultural land which does not lie within the Jeevanhalli village site. The parties referred to above have given a Mutchalika to stop further progress till orders are received from Government which they trust will be issued to them within three months.

The Village Sanitation Regulation has been introduced into Jevanhalli in the year 1923, but it extends only to the village site. A Village Panchayat was sanctioned to this village in April 1924, but not constituted, it is therefore very necessary that an election takes place at once and a list submitted by the Amildar of persons proposed to be nominated. On the completion of the election and the sanction of Government obtained for the nominations, Bye-laws under section 38(f) and (h) of the Village Panchayat Regulation of 1918 must be framed by the District Board at once. In case the voters of Jevanhalli refuse to elect members and the District Board refuse to reconsider their objection to frame Bye-laws under the above section, then the New Regulation No. 2 of 1926 will have to be brought into effect at once in all the 26 villages bordering on the Civil and Military Station limits. It will also be necessary for a request to be made to Government to notify rules under Sections 65 (1) and (2) under the New Regulation - unless this is done it is not possible for steps to be taken to prevent the building of houses in Jevanhalli on agricultural lands at the instance of the Jodidars. I may also mention that the grantees are willing to construct houses on the best lines suggested by the

the Civil and Military Station Municipal authorities. The entire correspondence is enclosed.

---

" Copy "

B Subba Rao

Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore Dist.

[APP. F. VI. Gl.]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section ~~G.A.~~ P.P.

File No. 146-19

Serial No. 67

No. G 2298

To

The Dy. Comm.

Bangalore —

Sir

Diary No. of letter replied to G.M-1119

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 192

Date of issue 28/8/26

Signed by M.V.J

I am directed to refer to correspondence ending with your letter No. B. 5. 275 of 26.27 dated the 8th Aug. 1926 regarding the erection of certain bullocks to the north of the Civil and Military Station bordering across the Jannery Road and are directed to enquire whether the inamdar of the Jeevanhalli village in which bullocks are being constructed holds a

over

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

28/8

Gu 2538

14930

pp. 146-19-68

No.B-5-275/26-27. Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District,
Dated Bangalore the 23rd September 1926




T. Govinda Rao, Esq.,
Offg. Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

P. G. D'souza, Esq., B.A.B.L.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.G.581-P.P.146-19-55 dated 20th July 1926 stating that certain buildings to the north of the Civil and Military Station boundary across the tannery road are under construction and enquiring whether the inamdar of Jeevanahalli holds any commission from Government, I have the honor to state that the inamdar holds no commission from Government under section 99(d) of the Land Revenue Code as reported by the Sub-Division officer, Bangalore Sub-Division.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Mr Jayaramaiah

For Offg. Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

Gn 2538

PP 146-19-69

To

The Deputy Commissioner,

Bangalore District.

G 42039 26.10.26.

Sir,

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No.B.5.275/26-27 dated 23rd September 1926 re: the erection of certain buildings to the north of the Civil and Military Station Boundary across Tannery Road, I am directed to state that, as the Inamdar of Jeevanhalli is reported to hold no commission from Government under Section 99(d) of the Land Revenue Code, the appropriation by him of agricultural land though in an Inam village to non-agricultural purposes, such as construction of buildings, is unauthorised and that steps should be taken by you to restrain him from building or allowing the land for building purposes. The result of action taken may be reported to Government immediately.

I have etc.,

RB 20/10

General Secretary to Govt.

4/10

B.K.M.

Copy sent by B Rama 21/10

In all future communications please quote—
No. B-5-275-26/27
Enc.

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
BANGALORE DISTRICT.

*Dated Bangalore, the* 26th February 4th March 1927.

PP 146-19-70

FROM

S. HIRIANNAIYA, ESQ., M.A., B.L.,
*Deputy Commissioner,*
*Bangalore District.*

To

N. Madhava Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore. Bangalore.

SUBJECT:—

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.G.4203/P.P.146-19-6 dated 26th October 1926 enquiring what steps are proposed to be taken to restrain the Inamdar of Jeevanahalli from putting up buildings on agricultural lands, I have the honor to invite your reference to para 171 of the Revenue Manual Part I, wherein it is stated that the Deputy Commissioner has no power to prevent the appropriation of agricultural lands for non-agricultural purposes in alienated villages. As such it is doubtful whether the provision under section 63 of the Land Revenue Code can be made applicable in Inam villages. If the construction of the buildings has to be prevented, the only course appears to be to acquire the lands under Land Acquisition Regulation.

I am however asking the Sub-Division Officer and the Amildar to try and use their influence if possible to make the inamdar desist from constructing the buiidding.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.